Texas Education Agency Standard Application System (SAS)

107-110 ESEA on 1003 (g) ary 1, 2016, to ations. Pre-aw cember 31, 20 p.m. Central Tomplete copie ture (blue ink mentioned time cument Contral	A, as am July 31 vard cos 015. Time, Au es of the preferre ne and d rol Cent Texas 1701 N Austin ia.goves	nended by to the nended by to the nended by to the nended by to the nended by the nend	three with origing received no late address: of Grants Admin Agency ess Ave 1-1494 s.gov;	2001, ral er 1, 2015, nal r than the	FOR 1 Writ	FEA USE ONLY o NOGA ID here:
107-110 ESEA on 1003 (g) ary 1, 2016, to ations. Pre-aw cember 31, 20 p.m. Central Tomplete copie ture (blue ink mentioned time cument Contral	July 31 vard cos 015. Time, Au es of the preferre ne and d rol Cent Texas 1701 N Austin ia.govea	nended by to the nended by to the nended by to the nended by to the nended by the nend	he NCLB Act of 2 nding future feder itted from Octobe 15 n, three with origin e received no late address: of Grants Admin Agency ess Ave 1-1494 s.gov; al Information	2001, ral er 1, 2015, nal r than the	Place	e NOGA ID here:
ations. Pre-aw cember 31, 20 p.m. Central T omplete copie ture (blue ink mentioned tim ocument Conti a Govea: letic 463-1427	vard cos 015. Time, Au es of the preferre ne and d rol Cent Texas 1701 N Austin ia.goves	ugust 20, 20 application application application at this atter, Division Education North Congran, TX 7870 a@tea.texa	itted from October 15 three with origin received no later address: of Grants Admin Agency ess Aver 1-1494 s.gov;	er 1, 2015, mal r than the		TEXAS EBUÇATION 7815 AUG 20 AM IO: 37
omplete copie ture (blue ink mentioned tim cument Conti a Govea: letic 463-1427	es of the preferre ne and d rol Cent Texas 1701 N Austinica.govea	application ed), must be late at this at this at this at this at this at the congruent of t	three with origing received no late address: of Grants Admin Agency ess Ave 1-1494 s.gov;	r than the		TEXAS EBUÇATION 7815 AUG 20 AM IO: 37
omplete copie ture (blue ink mentioned tim cument Conti a Govea: letic 463-1427	es of the preferre ne and d rol Cent Texas 1701 N Austinica.govea	application ed), must be late at this at this at this at this at this at the congruent of t	three with origing received no late address: of Grants Admin Agency ess Ave 1-1494 s.gov;	r than the		AUG 20 AM ID: 37
a Govea: letic 463-1427 <u>Scr</u>	Texas 1701 N Austii ia.govea nedule ≠	Education North Congr n, TX 7870 a@tea.texa	Agency ess Ave 1-1494 s.gov; al Information	Istration		20 AM 10: 37
463-1427 Sch	nedule #	#1—Gener	al Information		14 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	
	County				30 00 10 1 0 10 10 10	
		-District #	1	No. 51 (1996) (1995)	ng, ang gang panasa sa mang nanggang agawa	
		-District#	1			
-			Campus name/	#	Amenda	nent#
	001010		Hobbs Williams			131,7,7
SC Region#		US Cong	ressional District		DUNS#	
0		TX-024		0	7-933-276	3
			City	4,,,,,	State	ZIP Code
			Grand Prairi	е	TX	75052
M.i.	Last r	name		Title		
					Supt. Scho	ool Improveme
patrici	a.lewis@	@gpisd.org				j
M.I.	Lastr	name		Title		
					ipal	
Email	address	3				
Anna.a					972-522-2799	
	Email patrici M.I.	Email address patricia.lewis(M.I. Last r Ande Email address	Lewis Email address patricia.lewis@gpisd.org M.I. Last name Anderson Email address	Lewis Email address patricia.lewis@gpisd.org M.I. Last name Anderson Email address	Lewis Asst.	Lewis

I hereby certify that the information contained in this application is, to the best of my knowledge, correct and that the organization named above has authorized me as its representative to obligate this organization in a legally binding contractual agreement. I further certify that any ensuing program and activity will be conducted in accordance with all applicable federal and state laws and regulations, application guidelines and instructions, the general provisions and assurances, debarment and suspension certification, lobbying certification requirements, special provisions and assurances, and the schedules attached as applicable. It is understood by the applicant that this application constitutes an offer and, if accepted by the Agency or renegotiated to acceptance, will form a binding agreement.

Authorized Official:

First name Susan

Susan Telephone # 972-237-5300 /

Signature (blue ink preferred)

M.I. Last name Hull

Email address susan.simpson@gpisd.org

Title

Superintendent

FAX#

(972)237-5440

Only the legally responsible party may sign this application.

8/18/2015

Date signed

701-15-107-016

Texas	Education	Agency
		Auchev

Part 3: Schedules Required for New or Amended Applications	
County-district number or vendor ID: 057910-131	Amendment # (for amendments only):
Schedule #1—General Information	on (cont.)

An X in the "New" column indicates a required schedule that must be submitted as part of any new application. The applicant must mark the "New" checkbox for each additional schedule submitted to complete the application. For amended applications, the applicant must mark the "Amended" checkbox for each schedule being submitted as part of the amendment.

Schedule	Schedule Name	Application Type	
#	Scriedule Name	New	Amended
1	General Information		X
2	Required Attachments and Provisions and Assurances	\boxtimes	N/A
4	Request for Amendment	N/A	\boxtimes
5	Program Executive Summary	\boxtimes	
6	Program Budget Summary	X	
7	Payroll Costs (6100) – SEE NOTE	See	
8	Professional and Contracted Services (6200) – SEE NOTE	Important	
9	Supplies and Materials (6300) - SEE NOTE	Note for	
10	Other Operating Costs (6400) - SEE NOTE	Competitive	
11	Capital Outlay (6600/15XX) – SEE NOTE	Grants*	
12	Demographics and Participants to Be Served with Grant Funds	\boxtimes	
13	Needs Assessment		
14	Management Plan		
15	Project Evaluation	X	
16	Responses to Statutory Requirements		
17	Responses to TEA Requirements		
18	Equitable Access and Participation	X	

*IMPORTANT NOTE FOR COMPETITIVE GRANTS: Schedules #7, #8, #9, #10 and #11 are required schedules if any dollar amount is entered for the corresponding class/object code on Schedule #6—Program Budget Summary. For example, if any dollar amount is budgeted for class/object code 6100 on Schedule #6—Program Budget Summary, then Schedule #7—Payroll Costs (6100) is required and if it is either blank or missing from the application, the application will be disqualified.

ForTEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

rexas Education Adency	Texas	Education Agency	
------------------------	-------	------------------	--

Schedule #2—Required Attachments and	Provisions and Assurances
County-district number or vendor ID: 057910-131-041	Amendment # (for amendments only):
Part 1: Required Attachments	

The following table lists the fiscal-related and program-related documents that are required to be submitted with the application (attached to the back of each copy, as an appendix).

#	Applicant Type	Name of Required Fiscal-Related Attachment
No fis	scal-related attachments are requ	ired for this grant.
#	Name of Required Program-Related Attachment	Description of Required Program-Related Attachment
No pr	ogram-related attachments are re	equired for this grant.
Part :	2: Acceptance and Compliance	

By marking an X in each of the boxes below, the authorized official who signs Schedule #1—General Information certifies his or her acceptance of and compliance with all of the following guidelines, provisions, and assurances.

Note that provisions and assurances specific to this program are listed separately, in Part 3 of this schedule, and

require a separate certification.

X	Acceptance and Compliance
\boxtimes	I certify my acceptance of and compliance with the General and Fiscal Guidelines.
oxdot oxdot	I certify my acceptance of and compliance with the program guidelines for this grant.
\boxtimes	I certify my acceptance of and compliance with all General Provisions and Assurances requirements.
\boxtimes	I certify that I am not debarred or suspended. I also certify my acceptance of and compliance with all Debarment and Suspension Certification requirements.
\boxtimes	I certify that this organization does not spend federal appropriated funds for lobbying activities and certify my acceptance of and compliance with all Lobbying Certification requirements.
\boxtimes	I certify my acceptance of and compliance with No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 Provisions and Assurances requirements.

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Part 3: Program-Specific Provisions and Assurances	Amendment # (for amendments only):
County-district number or vendor ID: 057910-131	Amendment # (for amendments only):
Schedule #2—Required Attachments	s and Provisions and Assurances
	Otandard Application dystem (3

\boxtimes	I certify my acceptance of and compliance with all program-specific provisions and assurances listed below.
#	Provision/Assurance
1.	The applicant provides assurance that program funds will supplement (increase the level of service), and not supplant (replace) state mandates, State Board of Education rules, and activities previously conducted with state or local funds. The applicant provides assurance that state or local funds may not be decreased or diverted for other purposes merely because of the availability of these funds. The applicant provides assurance that program services and activities to be funded from this grant will be supplementary to existing services and activities and will not be used for any services or activities required by state law, State Board of Education rules, or local policy.
2.	The applicant provides assurance that the application does not contain any information that would be protected by the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) from general release to the public.
3.	The applicant provides assurance that, if it receives these program funds to serve one or more campuses, it will ensure each campus receives all of the state and local funds it would have received in the absence of these program funds. As a result, an LEA must provide the TTIPS grantee campus all of the non-Federal funds the campus would have received were it not a TTIPS grantee campus, and these program funds must supplement the amount of those non-Federal funds. Note, however, that the campus does not need to demonstrate that these program funds are used only for activities that supplement those activities the campus would otherwise provide with non-Federal funds.
4.	The applicant provides assurance that the education program described below is unique to the applicant. An applicant that plagiarizes or copies any other application does not meet this standard and will be disqualified.
5.	 The LEA provides assurance that it will meet the following federal requirements: Use its TTIPS Grant to fully and effectively implement an intervention package in each school that the LEA commits to serve, consistent with the final requirements. Establish annual goals for student achievement on the State's assessments in both reading/language arts and mathematics, measure progress on the leading indicators in section III of the final requirements and establish goals to hold schools receiving school improvement funds accountable. If it implements a restart model in a school, hold the charter operator, charter management organization, or education management organization accountable for complying with the final requirements, and it include these terms in its contract or provisions Monitor and evaluate the actions a school has taken, as outlined in the approved TTIPS application, to recruit, select and provide oversight to external providers to ensure their quality. Monitor and evaluate the actions schools have taken, as outlined in the approved TTIPS application, to sustain the reforms after the funding period ends and that it will provide technical assistance to schools to sustain progress in the absence of TTIPS funding. Report school-level data to the SEA required under section III of the final requirements, and included in the Program Guidelines of this RFA.
6.	The LEA provides assurance that it will participate in any evaluation of the grant conducted by the U.S. Department of Education, including its contractors, or the Texas Education Agency, including its contractors.
7.	The LEA/campus provides assurance that if it selects to implement the transformation model , the campus will meet all of the following federal requirements: 1. Develop and increase teacher and school leader effectiveness. (A) Replace the principal who led the school prior to commencement of the transformation model; (B) Use rigorous, transparent, and equitable evaluation systems for teachers and principals that i. Take into account data on *student growth* as a significant factor as well as other factors such as multiple observation-based assessments of performance and ongoing collections of professional practice reflective of student achievement and increased high school graduation rates; and ii. Uses the definition of *student growth* as: the change in achievement for an individual student between two or more points in time. For grades in which the State administers summative assessments in reading/ language arts and mathematics, student growth data must be based on a student's score on the State's assessment under section 1111(b)(3) of
	the ESEA. A State may also include other measures that are rigorous and comparable

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

8.

across classrooms.

- iii. Are designed and developed with teacher and principal involvement;
- (C) Identify and reward school leaders, teachers, and other staff who, in implementing this model, have increased student achievement and high school graduation rates and identify and remove those who, after ample opportunities have been provided for them to improve their professional practice, have not done so;
- (D) Provide staff ongoing, high-quality, job-embedded professional development (e.g., regarding subject-specific pedagogy, instruction that reflects a deeper understanding of the community served by the school, or differentiated instruction) that is aligned with the school's comprehensive instructional program and designed with school staff to ensure they are equipped to facilitate effective teaching and learning and have the capacity to successfully implement school reform strategies; and
- (E) Implement such strategies as financial incentives, increased opportunities for promotion and career growth, and more flexible work conditions that are designed to recruit, place, and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in a transformation school.
- 2. Deliver comprehensive instructional reform strategies.
 - (A) Use data to identify and implement an instructional program that is research-based and vertically aligned from one grade to the next as well as aligned with State academic standards; and
 - (B) Promote the continuous use of student data (such as from formative, interim, and summative assessments) to inform and differentiate instruction in order to meet the academic needs of individual students.
- 3. Increase learning time and creating community-oriented schools.
 - (A) Establish schedules and strategies that provide increased learning time; using a longer day, week or year; and by addressing each of the following areas:
 - i. Additional time for instruction in core academic subjects including English, reading or language arts, mathematics, science, foreign languages, civics and government, economics, arts, history, and geography.
 - ii. Additional time for instruction in other subjects and enrichment activities that contribute to a well-rounded education, including, for example, physical education, service learning, and experiential and work based learning opportunities that are provided by partnering, as appropriate, with other organizations.
 - iii. Additional time for teachers to collaborate, plan, and engage in professional development within and across grades and content areas.
 - (B) Provide ongoing mechanisms for family and community engagement.
- 4. Providing operational flexibility and sustained support.
 - (A) Give the school sufficient operational flexibility (such as staffing, calendars/time, and budgeting) to implement fully a comprehensive approach to substantially improve student achievement outcomes and increase high school graduation rates; and
 - (B) Ensure that the school receives ongoing, intensive technical assistance and related support from the LEA, the SEA, or a designated external lead partner organization (such as a school turnaround organization or an EMO).

The LEA/campus provides assurance that if it selects to implement the <u>Texas state-design model</u>, the campus will deliver a comprehensive school improvement strategy, implemented for all students in the school, which is consistent with the Texas concept for developing an *Early College High School* (ECHS). In doing so, the LEA/campus will implement the following:

1. Pursue designation as a Texas Early College High School, with a target of earning TEA ECHS designation and full-operation as an ECHS, no later than the start of the second year of the TTIPS grant implementation period; Fall 2017.

- 2. Create an innovative high school that enables students to graduate with a high school diploma and an associate degree; or high school diploma and 60 college credit hours toward a baccalaureate degree.
- Provide college credit earned through the high school years for all students at no cost; including tuition, fees and textbook costs.

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

- 4. Develop and increase teacher and school leader effectiveness through use of the Texas Teacher Evaluation and Support System and Texas Principal Evaluation and Support System.
- 5. While implementing for all students, the program specifically identifies students for more intensive supports. These students include those at-risk for dropping out of school, as defined in Texas state-defined criteria in TEC §29.081; and students historically underrepresented in college courses. In developing and providing the more intensive supports, , the LEA/campus will have:
 - (A) Data to identify the population at risk of dropping out of school;
 - (B) Quantitative and qualitative data to identify students least likely to attend college/those historically underrepresented in college courses;
 - (C) Early College brochures in all languages relevant to the school community;
 - (D) Written communication plan for relevant target audiences: parents, community members, school board.

Adapted from Texas Early College High School Blueprint, Benchmark 1.

- 6. By the start of TTIPS full-implementation (Fall 2016), the LEA/campus will have key partnerships in place that will enable success as an Early College High School. These partnerships are marked by signed Memoranda of Understanding with current signature each year of implementation. Key partnerships include:
 - (A) Partnership between the school district and an institute of higher education (IHE) that:
 - Defines the partnership between the LEA/campus and the IHE and addresses topics including, but not limited to: the ECHS location, the allocation of costs for tuition, fees, textbooks, and student transportation;
 - ii. Defines an active partnership between the school district(s) and the IHE(s), which shall include joint decision-making procedures that allow for the planning and implementation of a coherent program across institutions; and
 - iii. Includes provisions and processes for collecting, sharing, and reviewing program and student data to assess the progress of the ECHS.
 - (B) Contract/partner with the Texas Early College High School Technical Assistance provider for access to training, coaching, and technical assistance through to earning designation. Once designated, will continue work with the technical assistance provider as is required as a condition of TEA designation.
 - (C) Contract/partner with a Texas Early College High School demonstration site. Demonstration sites are identified by TEA each year from 2015-2016 forward. Terms of the contract/partnership shall be designed for demonstration site/ model program for the TTIPS LEA/campus by TEA in early 2015-2016

Adapted from Texas Early College High School Blueprint, Benchmark 2.

- 7. By the start of TTIPS planning/pre-implementation year (January 1, 2016), the LEA and key partners must have developed and be maintaining a leadership team focused on P-16 Leadership Initiatives that meets regularly to address issues of the ECHS design and sustainability. At minimum, the membership shall include the campus principal and individuals with decision-making authority from both the LEA and IHE. Adapted from Texas Early College High School Blueprint, Benchmark 3.
- 8. Provide a curriculum that offers a rigorous and accelerated course of study, in both college-credit bearing courses and preparatory/college readiness courses. Additionally, the program must provide students with the academic, emotional and social supports necessary to be successful in the rigorous course of study. The curriculum and supports must meet the following:
 - (A) Beginning in TTIPS first year of full-implementation (Fall 2016), have curriculum in place that allows all students to graduate high school with at least six semester credit hours toward a baccalaureate

For TEA Use Only				
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:			
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:			

dearee.

- (B) By TTIPS second year of full-implementation (Fall 2017), have curriculum in place that enables students to receive a high school diploma and complete the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board's (THECB) core curriculum (as defined by TAC §4.28); or an associate's degree; or at least 60 credits toward a baccalaureate degree during grades 9-12.
- (C) Possess a written course of study plan showing how students will progress as an ECHS graduate. The plan must provide pathway to a baccalaureate degree and follow the courses and fields of study listed in the THECB Lower Division Academic Course Guide Manual.
- (D) Beginning in the TTIPS first year of full-implementation, the campus will provide academic supports to the students in the form of: extended learning time sessions for tutoring, advisory and/or college readiness support time built into the program of study, and a college-readiness mentorship program.
- (E) Beginning in the TTIPS planning/pre-implementation year, the campus will provide social and emotional supports to the students, including: connections to social services, parent outreach and involvement opportunities.
- (F) Beginning in the TTIPS first year of full-implementation, the campus will provide college awareness and access services to students and families, including: college application assistance, financial aid counseling, college and career counseling.

Adapted from Texas Early College High School Blueprint, Benchmark 4.

By the TTIPS first year of full-implementation, the campus shall provide for the administration of the Texas Success Initiative (TSI) college placement exam to students in order to assess college readiness, design individual instruction plans, and enable students to begin college courses based on their performance. Fees associated with assessment administrations must be waived/covered for all students. Adapted from Texas Early College High School Blueprint, Benchmark 5.

- 9. By the start of the TTIPS second year of full-implementation (Fall 2017), the campus will provide a full-day program at an autonomous high school; operating with:
 - (A) An IHE liaison with decision-making authority who interacts directly and frequently with the campus staff and administrators;
 - (B) A highly qualified teaching staff possessing appropriate level of certification, training and ongoing supports to teach college-bearing courses to high school students.
 - (C) Clear opportunities for students to have regular use (at least six times per school year) of college academic facilities, regardless of early college school site.
 - (D) Opportunities for high school faculty and staff to receive regular training and support; in collaboration with the IHE faculty and staff.

Adapted from Texas Early College High School Blueprint, Benchmark 6.

The Texas concept for an Early College High School is fully described in the following resources:

- Texas Education Agency, Early College High School program
- Texas Education Code §29.908
- Texas Administrative Code §4.161
- 19 Texas Administrative Code Chapter 102 Educational Programs Subchapter GG: <u>Commissioner's Rules Concerning Early College Education Program</u>

The applicant provides assurances that the LEA/campus administering the state-design model will submit an Early College High School Readiness Assessment as a supplement to the TTIPS required Implementation Readiness Portfolio. Assessment forms will be provided by the TEA TTIPS program office.

The applicant provides assurances that the LEA/campus administering the state-design model will apply for Texas Early College High School designation, no later than applications are available for schools that wish to be designated for the 2017-2018 school year.

9. The LEA/campus provides assurance that if it selects to implement the Early Learning Intervention Model in an

For TEA Use Only				
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:			
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:			

elementary school, the campus will implement in accordance with the following federal requirements:

- 1. Offer full-day kindergarten.
- 2. Establish or expand a high-quality preschool program.

A high-quality program includes structural elements that are evidence-based and nationally recognized as important for ensuring quality. Implementation under this grant program must meet the requirements of a high-quality preschool program, as defined in the U.S. Department of Education's Preschool Development Grants program. Under this definition, program must have:

- (A) High staff qualifications, including a teacher with a bachelor's degree in early childhood education or a bachelor's degree in any field with a state-approved alternative pathway:
- (B) High-quality professional development for all staff;
- (C) A child-to-instructional staff ratio of no more than 10 to 1;
- (D) A class size of no more than 20 with, at a minimum, one teacher with high staff qualifications;
- (E) A full-day program;
- (F) Inclusion of children with disabilities to ensure access to and full participation in all opportunities;
- (G) Developmentally appropriate, culturally and linguistically responsive curricula, and learning environments that are aligned with the state early learning and development standards for at least the year prior to kindergarten entry;
- (H) Individualized accommodations and supports so that all children can access and participate fully in learning activities;
- (I) Instructional staff salaries that are comparable to the salaries of local K-12 instructional staff;
- (J) Program evaluation to ensure continuous improvement;
- (K) On-site or accessible comprehensive services for children and community partnerships that promote families' access to services that support their children's learning and development;
- (L) Evidence-based health and safety standards.
- 3. The LEA has assessed the benefits of contracting with a community-based provider to provide the high-quality preschool programs for students enrolled in an elementary school implementing the model, either at the TTIPS campus or through an existing high-quality child care or Head Start program within the LEA or nearby community. The LEA is aware that the preschool is not required to be physically located in the eligible elementary school. However, students must be enrolled in the grantee school that is implementing the early learning model to receive preschool services funded through the grant program.
- 4. Provide educators, including preschool teachers, time for joint planning across grade levels.
- 5. Replace the principal who led the school prior to the commencement of the early learning model.
- 6. Use rigorous, transparent, and equitable evaluation systems for teachers and principals that-
 - (A) Take into account data on student growth as a significant factor as well as other factors such as multiple observation-based assessments of performance and ongoing collections of professional practice reflective of student achievement and increased high school graduation rates: and
 - (B) Are designed and developed with teacher and principal involvement;
- 7. Identify and reward school leaders, teachers, and other staff who, in implementing this model, have increased student achievement and high school graduation rates and identify and remove those who, after ample opportunities have been provided for them to improve their professional practice, have not done so.
- 8. Implement strategies such as financial incentives, increased opportunities for promotion and career growth, and more flexible work conditions that are designed to recruit, place, and retain high quality

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

10.

educators.

- 9. Use data to identify and implement an instructional program that is:
 - (A) Research-based;
 - (B) Developmentally appropriate;
 - (C) Vertically aligned from one grade to the next as well as aligned with State academic standards:
 - (D) Promotes academic content across a range of development: math and science, literacy and language, socio-emotional skills, self-regulation, and executive functions.
- 10. Promote the continuous use of student data (such as from formative, interim, and summative assessments) to inform and differentiate instruction in order to meet the academic needs of individual students.
- 11. Provide staff with ongoing, high-quality, job-embedded professional development such as coaching and mentoring that is:
 - (A) Aligned with the school's comprehensive instructional program
 - (B) Designed with school staff to ensure that they are equipped to facilitate effective teaching and learning and have the capacity to implement school reform strategies.
- 12. Operate in compliance with all regulations in the Texas Pre-Kindergarten Guidelines (PKG).
- 13. Utilize Texas State Board of Education approved pre-kindergarten instructional materials.
- 14. Enroll in the Children's Learning Institute (CLI), <u>CLI Engage</u> platform, and utilize the <u>Texas School</u> <u>Ready!</u> child progress monitoring assessments with pre-kindergarten students.

If selecting the Early Learning Intervention model and receiving these grant funds to support the implementation, the full-day kindergarten and full-day pre-kindergarten programs must be offered free of charge to all enrolled students

The LEA/campus provides assurance that if it selects to implement the <u>turnaround model</u>, the campus will meet all of the following federal requirements:

- 1. Replace the principal and grant the new principal sufficient operational flexibility (including in staffing, calendars/time, and budgeting) to fully implement a comprehensive approach to substantially improve student achievement outcomes and increase high school graduation rates;
- 2. Use locally adopted competencies to measure the effectiveness of staff who can work within the turnaround environment to meet the needs of students;
 - (A) Screen all existing staff and rehire no more than 50 percent; and
 - (B) Select new staff
- Implement such strategies as financial incentives, increased opportunities for promotion and career growth, and more flexible work conditions that are designed to recruit, place, and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in the turnaround school;
- 4. Provide staff ongoing, high-quality, job-embedded professional development that is aligned with the school's comprehensive instructional program and designed with school staff to ensure that they are equipped to facilitate effective teaching and learning and have the capacity to successfully implement school reform strategies;
- Adopt a new governance structure, which may include, but is not limited to, requiring the school to report to a new turnaround office in the LEA or SEA, hire a turnaround leader who reports directly to the Superintendent or Chief Academic Officer, or enter into a multi-year contract with the LEA or SEA to obtain added flexibility in exchange for greater accountability;

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

11.

- 6. Use data to identify and implement an instructional program that is research-based and vertically aligned from one grade to the next, as well as aligned with State academic standards;
- 7. Promote the continuous use of student data (such as from formative, interim, and summative assessments) to inform and differentiate instruction in order to meet the academic needs of individual students;
- 8. Establish schedules and strategies that provide increased learning time; using a longer day, week or year; and by addressing each of the following areas:
 - (A) Additional time for instruction in core academic subjects including English, reading or language arts, mathematics, science, foreign languages, civics and government, economics, arts, history, and geography.
 - (B) Additional time for instruction in other subjects and enrichment activities that contribute to a well-rounded education, including, for example, physical education, service learning, and experiential and work based learning opportunities that are provided by partnering, as appropriate, with other organizations.
 - (C) Additional time for teachers to collaborate, plan, and engage in professional development within and across grades and content areas.
- 9. Provide appropriate social-emotional and community-oriented services and supports for students.

If selecting the turnaround model, the applicant agrees to the participation of the campus principal or principal candidates in a formative assessment of their turnaround leadership capacity.

The LEA/campus provides assurance that if it selects to implement the <u>Whole-School Reform model</u>, the campus will meet all of the following federal requirements:

- 1. Implement an evidence-based whole-school reform in partnership with a model developer.
 - (A) The model developer is an entity or individual that either has proprietary rights to the model or an entity or individual that has a demonstrated record of success in implementing wholeschool reform models in one or more low-achieving school.
- 2. The whole-school reform model selected must be supported by at least one study that demonstrates its efficacy. The federal SIG office has approved specific whole-school reform models that meet this evidence standard; published here: http://www2.ed.gov/programs/sif/sigevidencebased/index.html These approved models are supported by:
 - (A) A study of efficacy that meets What Works Clearinghouse evidence standards.
 - (B) A study that shows statistically significant favorable impact on a student academic achievement or attainment outcome.
 - (C) A study which used a large sample and multi-site sampling.
- 3. Evidence supporting the efficacy of the whole-school model selected is based on an implementation with a sample population or setting similar to the population or setting of the school being served. The whole-school model must be designed to improve academic achievement or attainment.
- 4. The whole-school model must implement the model for all students in the school.
- 5. The whole-school model must address at a minimum and in a comprehensive and in a coordinated manner:
 - (A) School leadership
 - (B) Teaching and learning in at least one full academic content area
 - (C) Non-academic supports for students
 - (D) Family and community engagement
- The LEA/campus provides assurance that if it selects to implement the <u>restart model</u>, the campus will meet all of the following federal requirements:

For TEA Use Only				
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:			
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:			

Texas	Education Agency	Standard Application System (SAS
	organization (CMO), or an education man that operates or manages charter schools	inder a charter school operator, a charter management nagement organization (EMO) A CMO is a non-profit organization by centralizing or sharing certain functions and resources non-profit organization that provides "whole-school operation"
	the LEA that the CMO is likely to produce	eview process. This rigorous review process is a determination by strong results for the school, by an assessment that schools ave produced strong results over that last three years, indicated
	(C) High school graduation rates	emic achievement gaps either within a school or relative to other public schools in the areas of civil rights, financial management and student
	3. Enroll, within the grades it serves, any for	mer student who wishes to attend the school.
	list of CMO and EMO providers.	ontract only with CMO or EMO providers on the State's approved
	The LEA/campus provides assurance that if it selet the following federal requirements:	ects to implement the <u>closure model</u> , the campus will meet all of
13.		nool in other schools in the LEA that are higher achieving within I and may include, but are not limited to, charter schools or new not yet available.
	A grant for school closure is a one-year grant with	nout the possibility of continued funding.
14.	The LEA/campus provides assurance that is awar element of the transformation or turnaround mode modification, and assessed best-fit and benefits to Under federal regulations for this program, a Rura transformation or turnaround model, but only in a purpose of the element and does not eliminate the Applicants eligible to propose a modification are o Education Rural and Low Income program. Eligib http://www2.ed.gov/programs/reaprlisp/eligible14/	re that Rural LEAs are eligible to propose a modification to an el. The LEA/campus has examined their eligibility to propose a proposing a modification. al LEA applicant may propose to modify one element of the manner that the modification meets the original intent and element from the resulting implementation plan. only those identified as eligible for the U.S Department of bility lists are available here:
15.	1. Implement an evidence-based whole- (A) The model developer is an er an entity or individual that has school reform models in one its efficacy. The federal SIG office has evidence standard; published here: ht These approved models are supporte (A) A study of efficacy that meet	eschool reform in partnership with a model developer. Intity or individual that either has proprietary rights to the model or a demonstrated record of success in implementing whole-or more low-achieving school. Ited must be supported by at least one study that demonstrates approved specific whole-school reform models that meet this ttp://www2.ed.gov/programs/sif/sigevidencebased/index.html ed by: Is What Works Clearinghouse evidence standards. Illy significant favorable impact on a student academic
***************************************	(C) A study which used a large s	sample and multi-site sampling.
	Evidence supporting the efficacy of th	e whole-school model selected is based on an implementation
		EA Use Only
Chang	es on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
M. L. L		D. TPA AAR AARAMA

For TE/	A Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

lexas	Education Agency Standard Application System (SAS
	with a sample population or setting similar to the population or setting of the school being served. The whole-school model must be designed to improve academic achievement or attainment.
	4. The whole-school model must implement the model for all students in the school.
	The whole-school model must address at a minimum and in a comprehensive and in a coordinated manner:
	(A) School leadership
	(B) Teaching and learning in at least one full academic content area
	(C) Non-academic supports for students(D) Family and community engagement
	The applicant provides assurance that student families and the campus community were engaged in planning for
16.	the grant application, and the campus/district took action to solicit input from these stakeholders. This input was taken into consideration when selecting the model to implement. If awarded, the applicant commits to meaningfully engage families and the community in the implementation of the selected model on an ongoing basis.
	The applicant provides assurance that if selected for award, the applicant will fully engage in grant negotiations
17.	managed by TEA. These negotiations may include additional clarifications and modifications to activities, budget
	and performance targets proposed, if it is determined by TEA that federal requirements will not be met though the proposed program.
	The applicant provides assurance that the LEA will designate an individual or office with primary responsibilities for
	supporting the LEA/campus' school improvement efforts. This individual/office will have primary responsibility and authority for ensuring the effective implementation of the grant option approved by TEA; serve as the district
18.	liaison to TEA and those providing technical assistance and/or contracted service to the LEA/campus as part of
	the approved grant. The applicant also provides assurance that TEA will be notified immediately of any changes to
	this contact.
19.	The applicant provides assurance that a team from the grantee LEA/campus will attend and participate in grant orientation meetings, technical assistance meetings, and other periodic meetings of grantees, the Advancing
10.	Improvements in Education Conference, and sharing of best practices.
	The applicant provides assurance that it will continue to fully engage in all required elements of Texas
1	Accountability Interventions System (TAIS) framework; regardless of model selected for implementation. All TTIPS grant awarded schools are required to submit an annual improvement plan and quarterly progress
	reports documenting school's continuous processes around data analysis, needs assessment, planning,
20.	implementation and monitoring; as delineated in the TAIS framework.
-	If awarded under this grant opportunity, the applicant also provides assurance that it will engage in necessary
	effort to align and complement existing school improvement strategies, goals and interventions in their final approved TTIPS grant, in order to effectively deliver a single and comprehensive school improvement plan.
	The applicant provides assurance that at the close of the pre-implementation period, it will prepare and submit an
***************************************	Implementation Readiness Portfolio to the TEA TTIPS program office. Specific requirements for the portfolio are
21.	included in the Program Guidelines for this RFA. The applicant understands that support applicate in the TFA TTIPS program office will conduct a comprehensive
21.	The applicant understands that support specialists in the TEA TTIPS program office will conduct a comprehensive review and assessment of the Implementation Readiness Portfolio and qualitative data obtained through onsite
	observations and staff interviews. The applicant assures it will engage with the TEA program office to provide
	clarifications and adjustments to the portfolio, based on the review and assessment recommendations.
22.	The applicant provides assurances that it will participate in and make use of technical assistance and coaching support provided by TEA and/or its subcontractors.
	The applicant will participate in a formative assessment of the LEA's capacity and commitment to carry out the
23.	grant intervention models.
24.	The applicant will provide access for onsite visits to the LEA and campus by TEA and its contractors.
25.	The LEA/campus assures TEA that data to meet federal requirements will be available and reported as requested. A list of required data elements is included in the Program Guidelines for this RFA.
	A list of required data elements is included in the Frogram Guidelines for this NFA.

For TEA Use Only				
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:			
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:			

Schedule #4—Request for Amendment

County-district number or vendor ID: 057910-131

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 1: Submitting an Amendment

This schedule is used to amend a grant application that has been approved by TEA and issued a Notice of Grant Award (NOGA). **Do not submit this schedule with the original grant application.** Refer to the instructions to this schedule for information on what schedules must be submitted with an amendment.

An amendment may be submitted by mail *or* by fax. Do not submit the same amendment by both methods. Amendments submitted via email will not be accepted.

If the amendment is mailed, submit three copies of each schedule pertinent to the amendment to the following address: Document Control Center, Division of Grants Administration, Texas Education Agency, 1701 N. Congress Ave., Austin, TX 78701-1494.

If the amendment is faxed, submit one copy of each schedule pertinent to the amendment to either of the following fax numbers: (512) 463-9811 or (512) 463-7915.

The last day to submit an amendment to TEA is listed on the <u>TEA Grant Opportunities</u> page. An amendment is effective on the day TEA receives it in substantially approvable form. All amendments are subject to review and approval by TEA.

Part 2: When an Amendment Is Required

For all grants, regardless of dollar amount, prior written approval is required to make certain changes to the application. Refer to the "When to Amend" guidance posted in the Amendment Submission Guidance section of the Division of Grants Administration Administering a Grant page to determine when an amendment is required for this grant. Use that guidance to complete Part 3 and Part 4 of this schedule.

Par	Part 3: Revised Budget					
			A	В	С	D
#	Schedule #	Class/ Object Code	Grand Total from Previously Approved Budget	Amount Deleted	Amount Added	New Grand Total
1.	Schedule #7: Payroll	6100	\$	\$	\$	\$
2.	Schedule #8: Contracted Services	6200	\$	\$	\$	\$
3.	Schedule #9: Supplies and Materials	6300	\$	\$	\$	\$
4.	Schedule #10: Other Operating Costs	6400	\$	\$	\$	\$
5.	Schedule #11: Capital Outlay	6600/ 15XX	\$	\$	\$	\$
6.	Total direct costs:		\$	\$	\$	\$
7.	Indirect cost (%):		\$	\$	\$	\$
8.	Total costs:		\$	\$	\$	\$

		Revised Annua	l Budget Breakdov	vn	
Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	5-Year Total Budget Request
\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Texas E	ducation Agency		Standard Application System (SAS
		Schedule #4—Request for Am	
		or vendor ID: 057910-131	Amendment # (for amendments only):
Part 4:	Amendment Ju	stification	
Line #	# of Schedule Being Amended	Description of Change	Reason for Change
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			

For T	ΓΕΑ Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:
(-, , person

7.

Texas Education Agency	Texas	Educat	tion /	Adency
------------------------	-------	--------	--------	--------

Schedule #5—Program Executive Summary

County-district number or vendor ID: 057910-131

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Provide a brief overview of the program you plan to deliver.

Please focus the response on the qualities that enable this specific campus and district team to achieve foundational pursuits of a school improvement undertaking: accelerated achievement, system transformation, and sustained reform.

Summarize the district commitments to achieve foundational elements through the district's:

- Vision and focus for school reform
- Sense of urgent need for change
- · High expectations for results
- Operational flexibilities that will be afforded the campus in a reform effort

Summarize the district and campus capacity and ability to benefit from this grant in terms of:

- Organizational structures
- Existing capacity and resources
- Communication structures

Response is limited to space provided, front side only, font size no smaller than 10 point Arial.

ACCELERATED ACHIEVEMENT The Grand Prairie Independent School District (GPISD) is a 58-square mile district in the heart of the Dallas Fort Worth metropolitan region. GPISD serves approximately 27,740 learners on 41 campuses in a low income region of the metroplex. Hobbs Williams Elementary is one of 24 elementary campuses in GPISD. The Texas Title I Focus School serves 612 students in Kindergarten through Fourth grade. The Crockett Early Childhood Center provides Pre-K services for the elementary campus. In 2015-16, our school will expand to serve 5th grade students. The students, parents, and teachers of Williams Elementary are diverse and possess a wide variety of backgrounds, experiences, and talents. 67% of students in the school are Hispanic, 19% African American, 11% White, and 3% Asian. Children of poverty and English language learners are the fastest growing segments of the student population. Vision & focus for school reform: The Hobbs Williams Elementary mascot is the Wolves. Wolves run in packs. The vision of the TTIPS Reform Plan is based on the awareness that the strength of the pack is the wolf and the strength of the wolf is the pack. The proposed school improvement project builds leadership skills and academic abilities of each child through collaborative learning experiences that build on the unique talents of peers. The plan utilizes professional learning communities to build instructional practices of each educator through sharing the strengths of the team. The mission of our school summarizes the focus of the iLearn TTIPS project. Mission: Our school will create and preserve a learning community that builds on the strength of our children, parents, and educators to guarantee all students reach their goals and a high level of academic achievement as measured by meeting standards on state and national tests. Sense of urgent need for change: Educational research conducted by the Texas Education Agency shows economically disadvantaged children do not progress in school at the same rate as the general population. The reports indicate 25-32% of students from low-income households in the state do not learn to read in first grade and these students are far less likely to meet state standards of performance on STAAR in 3rd grade. A related report published by the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) studying this trend found 43% of economically disadvantaged 4th grade students in the United States scored below grade level on a national assessment of reading. By middle school. over 70% were scoring at least two grade levels below expectation. Williams Elementary accountability data reflects state and national trends. 81% of all students served at Williams Elementary come from low income homes. Based on the STAAR reading assessment, 30 percent of the school's 3rd students from low income homes performed at an unsatisfactory academic performance level in 2014. Furthermore, only 15% of 3rd grade students scored at the advanced academic performance level, which is an indicator that children are ready for success in the next grade level. This means 85% of Williams Elementary third-graders may require additional support to be fully prepared for success in 4th grade. The statistic is alarming because research indicates that reading below expected 3rd and 4th grade levels is highly correlated to failure in middle school and dropping out of high school. High expectations for results Many children come to school prepared to succeed based on exposure to books, English language conversations, and participation in literacy based experiences. However, there is an ever-growing population of children coming into our schools that have never had opportunities to explore concepts and processes that are essential to the development of knowledge and skills in Reading and Math. The proposed TTIPs plan seeks to build the capacity of Williams Elementary to improve student achievement and growth using research based reforms; (1) Expand Pre-K and K programs to increase the number of children who meet state expectations on TPRI by the end of Kindergarten. Research indicates students who enter 1st grade school ready are more likely to find success in middle school and graduate from high school. The Early Learning Intervention Model will be implemented in Pre-K and K classrooms. A Pre-K Mentor and Kindergarten Instructional Specialist trained in the Children's Learning Institute CLI Engage program will support

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Schedule #5—Program Executive Summary (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 057910-131

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Provide a brief overview of the program you plan to deliver. Refer to the instructions for a description of the requested elements of the summary. Response is limited to space provided, front side only, font size no smaller than 10 point Arial.

teachers during common planning sessions to identify weaknesses using progress monitoring assessments and to respond with targeted 1:1 instruction. Interventionists and classroom aides will be employed to reduce the student-toteacher ratios to 10:1 to provide individualized instruction and support; (2) Use data continuously differentiate instruction and increase the number of students meeting or exceeding standards on the Reading and Math sections of TPRI (grades K-2) and STAAR (grades 3-5). Instructional Specialist hired for grade 1, grade 2-3, and grade 4-5 will work with educators in Professional Learning Communities to use data to plan instruction, to integrate research based intervention strategies into lessons, and to conduct co-teaching sessions to improve classroom implementation of best practices. In addition, Interventionists will be hired to reduce the student-to-teacher ratio to 10:1 during Reading and Math instruction and to conduct tutorials. A social worker will work with students and their parents to access school and community resources that support health and nutrition and attendance in TTIPS interventions; (3) Extend learning time to increase the number of students who are promoted to the next grade level on time. Technology integration in collaborative lessons will engage students in learning. Pre-K educators will use interactive projectors, tablets, and Teachsmart software to empower students to explore academic concepts. Grade K-2 will utilize digital projectors to visually represent concepts and computer centers to enable students to independently read books, practice math facts, and publish ideas. Grade 3-5 students will check out personal computers to provide access to assignments, intervention programs, and productivity tools at school and home; (4) Increase the number of highly effective leaders and highly qualified teachers instructing children. All educators will be evaluated using multiple observation- based evaluations focusing on the improvement of professional practice and student performance. Financial incentives will be provided as awards for educator and student growth; (5) Improve parent participation in their child's education to develop confidence leading to academic success. In PreK, parents will be invited to TTIPS Parent and Child Together (PACT) language and literacy training. In K-5, Williams Elementary students, parents, educators, and community members will be recruited to teach in the iLearn Academ each week. Academy classes will promote the development of personal talents and interests based on student and parent surveys. Three technology labs, a digitized music lab, video broadcast lab, and web design lab will provide state-of-the art technology environments for iLearn classes. The PTO will work with educational partners to provide celebration of iLearn successes. Using TTIPS reform strategies, our students will meet standards on grade level by 3rd grade and meet advanced standards by 5th grade to ultimately graduate from high school and enter college. This will empower a new generation of GPISD students to find employment in a profession of the DFW metroplex and ultimately earn a higher wage.

SYSTEM TRANSFORMATION - Using established organizational structures will improve TTIPS planning. implementation, and evaluation. Under the direction of the GPISD Asst. Superintendent for School Improvement, the Campus Leadership Team (CLT) of educators, parents, and community members drafted the TTIPS Targeted Improvement Plan using Texas Accountability Intervention System (TAIS) strategies. The team will use the TTIPS plan to implement the project and also to collect and analyze data each 9 weeks at CLT Data Analysis meetings. The campus based team will study multiple sources of data and utilize TAIS guidance to monitor the attainment of goals. When problems arise, the implementation of interventions will be reviewed and the plan will be modified to reach performance targets and achieve goals. Grand Prairie ISD ensures the principal has operational flexibility and the authority to alter schedules, persons responsible, and budgets to implement TTIPS based on the recommendations of the committee. SUSTAINED REFORM - Grand Prairie ISD has established 17 Schools of Choice that provide specialized learning programs in areas such as fine arts, science and math, and leadership. Each campus has been successful in improving student performance. In 2015, three Schools of Choice won all nine Academic Distinctions on STAAR. The TTIPS program will restructure Williams Elementary into a school that uses research based interventions implemented with state-of-the art learning technologies to improve teaching and learning. GPISD has created a technology integration plan that uses a five-year timeline for systematically acquiring technologies. The plan will enable the district to use existing resources and tech support to replace digital equipment and computers and sustain TTIPS after the project ends. Communication structures: Annual goals will be visible as you enter the building and in each hallway. Grade level newsletters will be published by teachers each week to keep parents informed about school events. A weekly folder will provide families with information about student progress. Quarterly progress reports toward achieving goals will be posted to ensure all stakeholders are focused on meeting goals. Evaluation reports will be provided to the Superintendent and District Improvement Committee each semester, to the Board annually, and to TEA as requested. All TTIPS communication will be in both English & Spanish.

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

					Scheduk	÷#6—Pr	Schedule #6—Program Budget Summary	qet Sum	<u>mary</u>				
County-district number or vendor ID: 057910-131	er or ven	dor ID: 0579	910-131				Amend	ment # (for	Amendment # (for amendments only):	s only):			
Program authority: P.L. 107-110 ESEA, as amended by the NCLB Act of 2001, Section 1003(g)	.L. 107-1	10 ESEA, a:	s amended	by the NCLI	3 Act of 2001	, Section	1003(g)						
Grant period: January 1, 2016, to July 31, 2020, pending future federal allocations. Preaward costs are permitted from October 1, 2015, to December 31, 2015.	y 1, 2016 πitted fror	to July 31, n October 1	2020, pend 2015, to D	ling future fe ecember 31	deral allocati	ons. Pre-	Fund co	Fund code: 276	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O				
Budget Summary			Name and Associated As				T TO THE STATE OF				MINE TO A STATE OF THE STATE OF		THE TAT THE TOTAL PROPERTY AND
Schedule #/Title	Class/ Object Code	Year 1 Program Cost	Year 1 Admin Cost	Amount of Year 1 as Pre-	Year 2 Program Cost	Year 2 Admin Cost	Year 3 Program Cost	Year 3 Admin Cost	Year 4 Program Cost	Year 4 Admin Cost	Year 5 Program Cost	Year 5 Admin Cost	Total Budgeted Cost across all Years
#7-Payroll Costs	6100	\$242,540	71,685	\$20,580	\$1,404,016	82,004	\$1,426,138	84,464	\$1,449,292	87,001	\$1,543,188	97,574	\$6.508.482
#8-Professional and Contracted Services	6200	\$120,170	ഗ	S	\$115,740	S	\$115,740	89	\$115,740	s	\$115,740	s	\$583,130
#9-Supplies and Materials	6300	\$584,800			\$ 202,340		\$157,584		\$159,767		\$179,198		\$1,283,689
#10-Other Operating Costs	6400	\$ 69,900	s	\$ 9,000	\$ 58,000	s	\$ 60,100	s	\$ 62,200	s	\$ 64.300	s	\$323,500
#11-Capital Outlay	6600/ 15XX	227,200	s	\$13,000	\$137,900	s	\$ 155,974	v	\$ 126,000	v)	0 \$	s	\$660,074
Consolidate Administrative Funds	nistrative	Funds	□ Yes X No	20			Visit Works			proprietti i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		- T	and design the second and the second
Percentage% indirect costs (see note):	lirect costs (see note):	N/A	v	N/A	N/A	G	A'A	சு	N/A	G	A/N	Ø	4
Grand total of budgeted costs (add all entries in each column):	***************************************	\$1,244,610	\$71,685	\$42,580	\$1,917,996	82,004	\$1,915,536	\$84,464	\$ 1,912,999	\$87,001	\$1,902,426	\$97,574	\$ 9.358.875
		THE	,		A	dministra	Administrative Cost Calculation	ulation	***************************************	den succession de la composition della compositi			
Enter the total grant amount requested:	ount requ	ested:			THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O		- Possibilitation and the second				WHAT THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE	\$ 9,358,875	,875
Percentage limit on administrative costs established for the program (5%):	ninistrative	costs establi	shed for the	orogram (5%)	the state of the s							× .05	
Multiply and round down to the nearest whole dollar. Enter the result. This is the maximum amount allowable for administrative costs, including indirect costs:	n to the ne nount allo	earest whole c	tollar. Enter ti	he result. sts, including	indirect costs:			***************************************	designation of the control of the co		everanen var minera komponen eta	\$467,944	44

NOTE: Indirect costs are calculated and reimbursed based on actual expenditures when reported in the expenditure reporting system, regardless of the amount budgeted and approved in the grant application. If indirect costs are claimed, they are part of the total grant award amount. They are not in addition to the grant award amount.

indirect costs are not required to be budgeted in the grant application in order to be charged to the grant. Do not submit an amendment solely for the purpose of budgeting indirect costs. No more than \$2,000,000 per year may be requested. NOTE:

- Year 1 is designed to be a planning/pre-implementation period, lasting from January 1, 2016, to July 31, 2016. Costs budgeted for this period should be reasonable and necessary for the shorter time period and type of activity.
 - Years 2, 3, and 4; operating in school years 2016-2017, 2017-2018, and 2018-2019, are designed to be full implementation years.
- Year 5; operating in school year 2019-2020, is designed to be a supported sustainability year. Costs budgeted for this period should be reasonable and necessary for the type of activity.

For TEA Use Only	Jse Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

RFA #701-15-107; SAS #191-16 2015–2020 Texas Title I Priority Schools, Cycle 4

				Sc	Schedule #7—Payroll Costs (6100)	Payroll Cos	ts (6100)				
Ŝ	unty-distr	County-district number or vendor ID: 057910-131	ndor ID: 057910-	131		THE STREET STREET, STR	TOTAL	Am	endment # (f	Amendment # (for amendments only)	its only);
			Estimated #	Estimated #	Year 1	Amount of Year 1 to	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Total Budgeted
ш	Employee	Employee Position Title	100% Grant	<100% Grant	Amount	pe nsed	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount	Costs across
			Funded	Funded	Duagerea	as Pre- Award	Budgeted	Budgeted	Budgeted	Budgeted	all Years
Ace	ıdemic/In	Academic/Instructional			The state of the s	- Company of the Comp	***************************************				
₩-	Teache Instructic	Teacher (PreK Mentor, Instructional Specialist)	5		\$0	\$0	\$367,980	\$376,320	\$384,920	\$420,760	\$1,549,980
2	Educati	Educational aide			0\$	\$0	\$63,000	\$63,000	\$63,000	\$63,000	\$252,000
3	Tutor				\$	8	9	ક	ક	49	8
Pro	gram Ma	Program Management and Administration	ministration					7444			
4	Project	Project Coordiator			\$47,250	\$13,500	\$69,495	\$71,580	\$73,730	\$82,690	\$358,245
2	Title				\$	ક	8	မှ	49	\$	\$
9	Title				⇔	8	\$	8	8	6	5
Υm	Auxiliary					The state of the s		T TOTAL THE STATE OF THE STATE	***************************************		The state of the s
7	Technic	Technician Technology	1		\$60,000	\$	\$80,000	\$80,000	\$80,000	\$80,000	\$380,000
æ	Title			177	\$	ક	69	8	&	69	5
6	Title				\$	₩	₩.	G	()	ம	s
ᅙ	er Emplo	Other Employee Positions					Mary Commence of the Commence	W-			
5	Interver Math. T	Interventionists (Rdg, Math. Tech, Writing)	ഹ		\$	0\$	\$367,980	\$376,320	\$384,920	\$420,760	\$1,549,980
Ξ	Social Worker	Vorker	-		\$47,250	\$0	\$49,495	\$51,580	\$53,730	\$62,690	\$264,745
12	Data Cc	Data Collection/Use			\$21,000	\$6,000	\$30,000	\$30,300	\$30,900	\$31,200	\$149,400
13		WMM/rymman in the state of the	Subtotal e	Subtotal employee costs:	\$175,500	\$19,500	\$1,027,950	\$1,049,100	\$1,071,200	\$1,161,100	\$4,504,350
Set	stitute, E	Substitute, Extra-Duty Pay, Benefits Costs	nefits Costs	T TOTAL TO THE TOT				THE TWEETING - COMMENTANCE - C		- ATTENMATION	
4	6112	Substitute pay \$1	Substitute pay \$100/day, 4 days, 54 teachers	t teachers	\$12,000	es.	\$21,600	\$21,600	\$21,600	\$21,600	\$98,400
15	6119	Professional staff extra-duty par tutorials, summer training for ter institute), summer SSI classes, TAP incentive awards year 1-5)	Professional staff extra-duty pay (after school tutorials, summer training for teachers (5 day institute), summer SSI classes, Saturday School TAP incentive awards year 1-5)	ter school rs (5 day ırday School,	\$86,000	ь	\$230,000	\$230,000	\$230,000	\$230,000	\$1,006,000
16	6121	Support staff extra-duty pay	a-duty pay		\$	ક	S	49	cs.	5	49
17	6140	Employee benefits	co.		\$40,725	\$1,080	\$206,470	\$209,902	\$213,493	\$228,062	\$899,731
18	61XX	Tuition remission (IHEs only)	(IHEs only)		\$	ક	s	ક	49	8	5
19	CATALON CONTRACTOR CON	Subtotal sui	Subtotal substitute, extra-duty, benefits costs	, benefits costs	\$138,725	\$1,080	\$485,070	\$461,502	\$465,093	\$479,662	\$2,004,132
20	ອັ	Grand total (Subtotal employee costs plus subtotal substitute, extra-duty, benefits costs):	Subtotal employee costs plus subtotal substitute, extra-duty, benefits costs):	s plus subtotal enefits costs):	\$314,225	\$20,580	1,486,020	1,510,602	1,536,293	1,640,762	\$6,508,482

Changes on this page have been confirmed with: On this date: By TEA staff person:

2015–2020 Texas Title I Priority Schools, Cycle 4

Page 18 of 68

For guidance on when to submit an amendment for changes to salary amounts in line items and a list of unallowable costs, see the guidance posted in the Amendment Submission Guidance and Allowable Cost and Budgeting Guidance sections of the Division of Grants Administration Administering a Grant page.

	Schedule #8—	rofessional	Professional and Contracted Services (6200)	cted Servic	es (6200)				
Cou	County-district number or vendor ID: 057910-131	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				Amer	ndment # (for	Amendment # (for amendments only)	s only):
6 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80	NOTE: Specifying an individual vendor in a grant application does not meet to constitute approval of a sole-source provider.	e applicable	requiremen	ts for sole-s	the applicable requirements for sole-source providers.	ars. TEA's ap	proval of su	ch grant app	TEA's approval of such grant applications does not
Pro	Professional and Contracted Services Requiring Specific Approval	THE WORLD	WANTED THE THE TAXABLE STREET	V-P-V-Addinoversan					
	Expense Item Description		Year 1	Year 1 Pre-	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Total Budgeted across all Years
6269	Rental or lease of buildings, space in buildings, or land Specify purpose:		<i>€</i> >	Awain S	ь	es.	€9	49	G
6239	Contracted publication and printing costs (specific approval required nonprofits) Specify purpose:	only for	6	€	8	·s	ь	49	s.
a.	and contracted services (6200) costs requiring	specific	ss	s	5	49	4	45	\$
Prof	Professional Services, Contracted Services, or Subgrants								
#	Description of Service and Purpose	Check If Subgrant	Year 1	Year 1 Pre- Award	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Total Budgeted across all Years
-	ESC 10 – Using Data Systems/State accountability		\$2000	ь	\$2000	\$2000	\$2000	\$2000	\$10,000
-	Children's Learning Institute - UT Houston (Early Learning Model)		\$12,900	ક	\$12,900	\$12,900	\$12,900	\$12,900	\$64,500
十	Accelerated ELA/Writing (tech mediated)summer training/ongoing		\$19,520	ь	\$19,520	\$19,520	\$19,520	\$19,520	\$97,600
-+	Accelerated Math (tech mediated) summer training/ongoing support		\$19,320	ક	\$19,320	\$19,320	\$19,320	\$19,320	\$96,600
_	Keystone Flippen Group - Improve School Climate		\$24,000	ક	\$19,000	\$19,000	\$19,000	\$19,000	\$100,000
ا ف	IAIS guidance, TX Center for District and School Support		\$30,000	ક્ર	\$30,000	\$30,000	\$30,000	\$30,000	\$150,000
_ '			ક	မာ	·γ	(A)	ь	ь	૨
ω (æ	æ	ь	4	မာ	(A)	ဟ
5)			€9	es.	()	æ	\$	မှ	\$
- 0			சு	69	G	↔	49	69	s.
ا ف	Subtotal of professional services, contracted services, or subgrants:		\$107,740	\$0	\$102,740	\$102,740	\$102,740	\$102,740	\$518,700
æ	Subtotal of professional and contracted services requiring specific approval:	approval:	\$	ક	\$	\$	€9-	\$	\$
1	Subtotal of professional services, contracted services, or subgrants:		\$107,740	\$0	\$102,740	\$102,740	\$102,740	\$102,740	\$518,700
ပ	Remaining 6200—Professional services, contracted services, or subgrants that do not require specific approval:	grants	\$12,430	()	\$13,000	\$13,000	\$13,000	\$13,000	\$64,430
	(Sum of lines a, b, and c)	Grand total	\$120,170	s	\$115,740	\$115,740	\$115,740	\$115,740	\$ 583,130

2015–2020 Texas Title I Priority Schools, Cycle 4

For a list of unallowable costs and costs that do not require specific approval, see the guidance posted in the Allowable Cost and Budgeting Guidance section of the Division of Grants Administration Administering a Grant page.

			<u> </u>	Schedule #9	lule #9—Supplies and Materials (6300)	and Mate	rials (6300) I				
County	Q.	istrict Number o	County-District Number or Vendor ID: 057910-131				THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF	Am	1endment 1	number (fo	Amendment number (for amendments only)	ents only);
				EXF	Expense Item Description	Jescriptio	u.					
		É	Technology Hardware—Not Capitalized	italized								
	#	Туре	Purpose	Quantity	Unit Cost	Year 1	Year 1 Pre- Award	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Total Budgeted Across all Years
	-	Personal Computers	Develop communication skills – iLearn Music	16	\$600							
	2		Develop cooperative Learning Grade 2 (6/class)	24	\$600	- Order and Conference on A						
6388	3	Personal Computers	Develop communication skills - iLearn Video Broadcast lab	16	\$600							
	4	Green screen	Develop communication skills - iLearn Video Broadcast lab	m	\$1800			(000	()		
	5	Monitors	Develop communication skills - iLearn Video Broadcast lab	က	\$270			00069	\$29,400	\$27,600		66,600
-	9	Personal Computers	Develop cooperative Learning Grade 1 (6/class)	24	\$600							
	7		Develop technology skills – iLearn Web Design lab	22	\$600							
	ω	Personal Computers	Develop cooperative Learning Grade K (6/class)	24	\$600							
6388	⊢ =	echnology softv b conferencing	Technology software—Not capitalized (Piano lab and video broadcast lab conferencing system, command station for news/media lab,	and video br ws/media lat	oadcast	\$6,900	\$0	\$18,490	\$6,550	\$14,520	\$5,520	\$51,980
6388	Š	Supplies and ma	Supplies and materials associated with advisory council or committee	ouncil or col	nmittee	\$500	\$0	\$500	\$500	\$500	\$500	\$2,500
		Sut	Subtotal supplies and materials requiring	uiring specifi	specific approval:	\$7,400	\$0	\$28,590	\$36,450	\$42,620	\$6,020	\$121,080
		Remaining 6; app	Remaining 6300—Supplies and materials that do not require specific approval: PK-5 th grade instructional materials including labs	t do not requ materials inc	ot require specific ials including labs	577,400	0\$	173,750	121,134	117,147	173,178	\$1,162,609
:	***************************************	MANA	- Proprietable	9	Grand total:	584,800	\$0	202,340	157,584	159,767	179,198	\$1,283,689

For a list of unallowable costs and costs that do not require specific approval, see the guidance posted in the Allowable Cost and Budgeting Guidance section of the Division of Grants Administration Administering a Grant page.

For TEA Use Only	Jse Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:
WANTED THE TAXABLE PARTY OF	

2015–2020 Texas Title I Priority Schools, Cycle 4

	Schedule #10—0th	er Operatir	e #10—Other Operating Costs (6400)	8				
County	County-District Number or Vendor ID: 057910-131				Amendment	number (for a	Amendment number (for amendments only):	ıly):
	Expense Item Description	Year 1	Year 1 Pre- Award	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Total Budgeted Across all Years
6412	Travel for students (includes registration fees; does not include field trips): Specific approval required only for nonprofit organizations.	\$18.300	₩.	\$19,000	\$19 700	\$20.400	\$21.100	\$98 400
	Specify purpose: transportation to extended day activities)	•)))		221		2
6413	Stipends for non-employees (specific approval required only for nonprofit organizations)	4	U	ď	e	¥	€	
)	Specify purpose:	•	€	>	÷	÷	.	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
6419	Travel for non-employees (includes registration fees; does not include field trips): Specific approval required only for nonprofit organizations	\$3000	\$3000	\$3000	83000	00068	83000	648 000
	Specify purpose: CLT members to TTIPS	2	2	200		2	2000))
6411/	Travel costs for executive directors (6411); superintendents (6411); or board members (6419): Includes registration fees	¥	4	¥	U	¥	e	U
6419	Specify purpose:	>	→	>	•	•	→	•
6429	Actual losses that could have been covered by permissible insurance	မှ	G	ક	s s	s	6A	S.
6490	Indemnification compensation for loss or damage	\$	8	ક	ક્ર	s	s,	S
6490	Advisory council/committee travel or other expenses	s	₩	s	co.	s	83	·
6488	Membership dues in civic or community organizations (not allowable for university applicants)	£	¥	¥	ď	¥	¥	9
	Specify name and purpose of organization:	.	→	·	•	>	•	•
6489	Publication and printing costs—if reimbursed (specific approval required only for nonprofit organizations)	4	¥	¥	ď	4	¥	U
	Specify purpose:	·	•	>))	>	>
	Subtotal other operating costs requiring specific approval:	\$21,300	\$3,000	\$22,000	\$22,700	\$23,400	\$24,100	\$ 116,500
	Remaining 6400—Other operating costs that do not require specific approval: Travel to conferences and workshops registration, travel, fees	\$48,600	\$6,000	\$36,000	\$37,400	\$38,800	\$40,200	\$ 207,000
	Grand total:	\$69,900	000'6\$	\$58,000	\$60,100	\$62,200	\$64,300	\$ 323,500

In-state travel for employees does not require specific approval. Field trips consistent with grant program guidelines do not require specific approval. For more information about field trips as well as a list of unallowable costs and costs that do not require specific approval, see the Budgeting Costs Guidance Handbook, in the Allowable Cost and Budgeting Guidance section of the Division of Grants Administration Administering a Grant page.

ForTEA	For TEA Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

2015–2020 Texas Title I Priority Schools, Cycle 4

	O	Schedule #11	-Capital	ule #11—Capital Outlay (6600/15XX)	500/15XX)					
ರಾ	County-District Number or Vendor ID: 057910-131	THE THE PROPERTY CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY CONT		MANAGEMENT 2 & C. (2)	The state of the s		Amendme	ent number	(for amend	Amendment number (for amendments only):
	15XX is only for use by charter		schools sponsored		by a nonpr	a nonprofit organization	ization.			
#	Description/Purpose	Quantity	Unit Cost	Year 1	Year 1 Pre- Award	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Total Budgeted Across all Years
999	6669/15XX—Library Books and Media (capitalized and controll	trolled by library	orary)		***************************************					
1		N/A	N/A	ક્ક	\$	θ	ક્ક	ક	s	\$
66 X	66XX/15XX—Technology hardware, capitalized	Programwed								
2	PreK Teachsmart -Smartboard, laptop, cart, software	8	\$8900	\$71,200	\$	G	€\$	83	\$	\$71,200
က	Computers on Wheels – increase 3rd gr Reading/Math	6 sets of 22	\$21,000	சு	ક્ક	126,000	ક્ક	சு	ક	\$126,000
4	Digitized Pianos – iLearn Music Composition Lab	14	\$850	\$	69	\$11,900	s>	49	67	\$11,900
Ω.	Computers on Wheels – increase 4th gr Reading/Math	6 sets of 22	\$21,000	6 3	B	↔	126,000	G	49	\$126,000
9	HVR/DV Camcorder Studio cameras	4	\$5300	છ	49	ss.	\$21,200	ь	G	\$21,200
7	Computers on Wheels- increase 5th gr achievement	6 sets of 22	\$21,000	ક્ક	ક	()	69	126,000	69	\$126,000
æ	Computer/laptop Bundle - TTIPS Staff	13	\$13000	156000	\$13,000	εn		မာ	es.	\$169,000
66 X	66XX/15XX—Technology software, capitalized								~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	Accessive which the control of the c
6			\$	S	ક્ક	S	ь	ь	မှာ	\$
9	**************************************		ક	\$	Ð	S	ь	ь	ь	₩.
=			\$	S	€	ક્ર	ь	€	₩	₩.
12			ક	ક	ઝ	ક	6)	G	ሁ	€9
13			63	↔	6 9	↔	(A)	s	₩	49
Y99	66XX/15XX—Equipment, furniture, or vehicles				THE PARTY OF THE P	Control of the Contro	Market Control of the			
14	Desk System for iLearn Video Broadcastiing		છ	s	ક્ક	63	\$8774	8	69	\$8774
15			ક	8	49	G	₩	မာ	(S)	4
16			ક	ક	\$	ક્ર	es.	\$	s	B
17			क	€	\$	क	s	ક	क	ь
18			બ	69	8	ક્ક	ક	\$	↔	49
19			\$	(S)	8	69	S	s	s	4
20				63	S	s	&	v	8	v
X99	66XX/15XX—Capital expenditures for improvements to land, buildings,	d, buildings	, or equip	ment that	or equipment that materially	increase	their value	e or useful	life	
21				ь	49	S	છ	မာ	8	8
		Gra	Grand total:	227,200	\$13,000	137,900	155,974	\$126,000	↔	\$660,074

For a list of unallowable costs, as well as guidance related to capital outlay, see the guidance posted in the Allowable Cost and Budgeting Guidance section of the Division of Grants Administration Administering a Grant page.

For TEA Use Only	Jse Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:
	The state of the s

RFA #701-15-107; SAS #191-16 2015-2020 Texas Title I Priority Schools, Cycle 4

Schedule #12—Demographics and Participants to Be Served with Grant Funds

County-district number or vendor ID: 057910-131

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 1: Student Demographics- Data. Enter the data requested for the population to be served by this grant program. If data is not available, enter DNA. Use required data source where indicated. Where not indicated, please cite data source used. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Category	Number	Percent	Data Source
Total Enrollment	612		2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
African American	115	18.8%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Hispanic	411	67.2%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
White	62	10.1%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Asian	20	3.3%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Economically disadvantaged	495	80.9%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Limited English proficient (LEP)	215	31.5%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Special Education	57	9.3%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Disciplinary referrals	81		GPISD Student Information System
Disciplinary placements in In-School Suspension	2		2014-2015 PEIMS report #425; code #C164
Disciplinary placements in Out-of-School Suspension	0		2014-2015 PEIMS report #425; code #C164
Disciplinary placements in DAEP	2		2014-2015 PEIMS report #425; code #C164
Disciplinary referrals for Truancy	2		2014-2015 PEIMS report #425; code #C164
Attendance rate	550 550	96.9%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Annual dropout rate (Gr 9-12)		NA	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Annual graduation rate (Gr 9-12)		NA	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
STAAR / EOC met 2015 standard, mathematics (standard accountability indicator)	NA	NA	TEA 2015 Accountability Summary Report.
STAAR / EOC met 2015 standard, reading / ELA (standard accountability indicator)	169	75%	TEA 2015 Accountability Summary Report.
ACT and/or SAT- Class of 2014, percent students Tested		NΑ	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
ACT and/or SAT- Class of 2014, percent At/Above Criteria		NA	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Average ACT score (number value, not a percentage)	NA		2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Average SAT score (number value, not a percentage)	NA		2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Graduates from Class of 2013 enrolled in a Texas Institution of Higher Education (IHE)		NA	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance

ForTEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Zevac	Educa	tion .	Agency
16793	Luula	HOTE A	TUELLUV

Schedule #12—Demographics and Participants to Be Served with Grant Funds (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 057910-131

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 2: Student Demographics- Comments

Please use this section to add a description of any data about students that was not specifically requested, but is important to understanding the population to be served by this grant program.

Additionally, use this space to describe trends in data, related to students seen over time in areas that are important to understanding your program plan. Applicants must include supporting evidence to explain trends. For example, projected enrollment growth would need to be supported with a report of percent gains in enrollment over the past several years. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Each year, approximately 4 out of 10 Kindergarteners enter Williams Elementary "Kindergarten Ready" based on a Beginning-of-Year (BOY) standardized assessment of knowledge and skills. This means that Kindergarten, 1st and 2nd grade curriculum and instruction must focus on remediation of skills. As a result, a high percentage of students do not master Texas Essential Knowledge Skills by 3nd grade to meet state accountability standards. Economically disadvantaged at the school score lowest on STAAR at every grade level. The chart below compares the STAAR scores of economically disadvantaged students at Williams Elementary to state averages. The data depicts the percentage of learners that met state standards at each grade level.

	 *** *********************************	a ding Standard	Ma % Met S		 BEREIGENALARISMISMISTERARISMISMISMISMISMISMISMISMISMISMISMISMISMI	iting Standard		ence Standard
32.50 (3.40)	State	Williams	State	Williams	State	Williams	State	Williams
3 rd - 2013	76%	70%	70%	64%	NA	NA	NA	NA
4 th - 2014	71%	67%	71%	68%	70%	63%	NA	NA
5 th - 2015	75%	65%	NA NA	NA	NA	NA	74%	66%

TRENDS IN DATA: STAAR scores are well below state averages for economically disadvantaged students (ECD) at Williams Elementary. Cohort data indicates the achievement gaps evident in third grade at the end of the 2013 school year widened as the students completed fourth grade in 2014 and fifth grade in 2015.

Reading: In 2013, 70% of economically disadvantaged third graders met state standards on the Reading section of STAAR. When these students took the fourth grade test in 2014, only 67% met state standards. In fifth grade, the percentage fell to 65%. Early intervention in Reading must be a focus of the TTIPS plan for grades PK-2. In addition, there is a need for improving professional practices and student performance in grades 3-5. Teachers indicate a need for: (a) support implementing literacy and language lessons into daily early childhood lessons; (b) a systematic phonics program that is aligned vertically to develop phonology, phonics, and spelling skills; (c) leveled books (eBooks with tracking and read-aloud capabilities) to improve guided reading; (d) technology based programs to provide differentiated practice at the student's proficiency level during school and at home; and (e) additional certified personnel to lower the student-to-teacher ratio during Reading intervention. Math: In 2013, 64% of economically disadvantaged third graders met state standards on the Math section of STAAR. When these students took the fourth grade test in 2014, 68% met state standards. In fifth grade, the percentage on district assessments fell to 63% (Math STAAR scores are not available for 2015). Early intervention in Math must be a focus of the TTIPS plan for grades PK-2. In addition, there is a need for improving professional practices and student performance in grades 3-5. Teachers determined a need for: (a) technology based resources to practice basic facts at school and home; (b) professional development to integrate real world problem solving activities into daily lessons; and (c) technologies to visually present concepts, application of skills and problem solving strategies. Writing: The percentage of Williams Elementary students meeting state standards in Writing on the 4th grade assessment is lower than the state average. There is a need to implement writing lessons into the curriculum and instruction at each grade level. Teachers indicate a need for training in a vertically aligned writing curriculum and technology based programs to develop keyboarding skills and to compose and publish original compositions. Science: The percentage of 5th grade students meeting state standards is well below other content areas and state averages. There is a need to integrate science lessons into the school schedule at each grade level. Teachers indicate a need for science lesson resources and hands on science materials and technology tools to build academic vocabulary and to present visual representations of abstract science concepts and processes.

DATA BASED TTIPS PLAN: Data-based school improvement goalsare the basis of the TTIPS plan: (1) Improve the quality of early childhood education to ensure students meet read on grade level by the end of 1st grade; (2) meet state standards on Reading and Math sections of STAAR by 3rd grade, and (3) leave the elementary campus meeting advanced standards on Reading and Math sections of 5th grade STAAR to ensure our students excel in middle school and graduate from high school. The high expectation, high achievement culture will improve performance for each child and raise school accountability ratings. The strength of the pack is the wolf and the strength of the wolf is the pack.

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Schedule #12—Demographics and Participants to Be Served with Grant Funds (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 057910-131

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 3: Staff Demographics- Data

Enter the data requested for the population to be served by this grant program. If data is not available, enter DNA. Use required data source where indicated. Where not indicated, please cite data source used.

Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Category	Number	Percent	Data Source
Total Staff	47.4		2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Teachers	46.3	97.7%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Professional Support staff	4.8	10.2%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Campus Administration (School Leadership)	3.0	6.3%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Educational Aides	1.1	2.3%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
African American Teachers	7.0	18.1%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Hispanic Teachers	16.0	41.6%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
White Teachers	15.5	40.3%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Asian Teachers	0	0 %	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Beginning Teachers	3.0	7.7%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Teachers with 1-5 Years Experience	12.5	32.5%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Teachers with 6-10 Years Experience	12.0	31.2%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Teachers with 11-20 Years Experience	7.0	18.2%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Teachers with over 20 Years Experience	4.0	10.4%	2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Average salary- Beginning Teachers	\$47,332		2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Average salary- Teachers with 1-5 Years	\$49,495		2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Average salary- Teachers with 6-10 Years	\$50,671		2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Average salary- Teachers with 11-20 Years	\$53,095		2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Average salary- Teachers with 11-20 Years	\$63,130		2013-2014 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), Campus Performance
Staff with less than a bachelor's degree	11	14%	GPISD Skyward Student Information System
Staff with Bachelor's degree as highest level attained	37	49%	GPISD Skyward Student Information System
Staff with Master's degree as highest level attained	11	14%	GPISD Skyward Student Information System
Staff with Doctoral degree as highest level attained	0	0.0%	GPISD Skyward Student Information System

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Schedule #12—Demographics and Participants to Be Served with Grant Funds (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 057910-131

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 4: Staff Demographics-Comments

Please use this section to add a description of any data about campus staff that was not specifically requested, but is important to understanding the population to be served by this grant program. Additionally, use this space to describe trends in data related to campus staff seen over time in areas that are important to understanding your program plan. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Teachers at Williams Elementary are focused on improving academic success of their students. The proposed TTIPS iLearn Plan has been developed to support teachers in meeting the campus goals for student achievement and their personal goals for career growth.

Student Achievement: Campus educators are evaluated using the Texas Teacher Evaluation and Support System (T-TESS). The principal conducts all appraisals at this time. The majority of educators at Williams Elementary receives *proficient* or *exceeds expectations* scores on the T-TESS; however, less than 70% of students pass STAAR assessments in each content area. A review of data since 2013 when the school was cited for improvement indicates the staff of the campus has not changed dramatically. The principal was replaced when the campus initiated school improvement; however, the majority of educators employed at that time are still members of the campus team. The chart below indicates the staff has an average of 9 years of experience and the percentages have not changed over the three year period.

Educator Experience	Williams Elementary			
	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	
Average Number of Years Experience	9.0	9.5	8.9	
Average Number of Years Experience with the District	7.4	8.2	7.8	

It seems Williams Elementary educators have years of experience necessary to effectively instruct students and implement school reform; however, low student scores indicate educators need support to meet student achievement goals. Educator deficiencies in content knowledge, instructional practice, and campus responsibilities are not detected by current educator evaluations. There is a need to revise the process. The TTIPS plan proposes to introduce Teacher Advancement Program (TAP) processes to support educators. Ongoing professional development will be provided during common planning sessions each week to support educators in planning data-based lessons and using best practices to differentiate instruction. Observation-based appraisals will be conducted using the CLI Classroom Observation Tool (COT) in Pre-K and the Skills, Knowledge, and Responsibilities (SKR) observation form in K-5 multiple times each year by campus leadersThe observation component will reform the existing evaluation process to determine if professional practices developed during planning sessions are implemented in the classroom. TTIPS action steps include: (1) Revise evaluation process and schedules to include administrator, peer, and self evaluations; (2) Train evaluators to fully implement classroom observations using COT (Pre-K) and SKR (K-5) forms and evaluation rubrics; and (3) Train Administrators, the Pre-K Mentor, and Instructional Specialists to conduct pre-conferences and post conferences to build on strengths and address weaknesses.

Carreer Goals: Grand Prairie ISD is a large urban district. The salary scale of the district is comparable to the scale of other districts in the DFW metroplex and higher than the state average. However, Williams Elementary teachers make slightly less than their counterparts in the district as shown on the chart below.

Experience	Williams Elementary	Grand Prairle ISD	State of Texas
Average salary- Beginning Teachers	\$47,332	\$49,405	\$43,380
Average salary- Teachers 1-5 Years	\$49,495	\$49,470	\$45,379
Average salary- Teachers 6-10 Years	\$50,671	\$51,113	\$47,855
Average salary- Teachers 11-20 Years	\$53,095	\$53,870	\$51,493
Average salary- Teachers 11-20 Years	\$63,130	\$61,090	\$59,032

Data indicates Williams Elementary serves 80.9% economically disadvantaged compared to the district average of 71.8% and the state average of 60.2%. Because of the diversity of our children and the number of teaching positions available in our district and the DFW metroplex, it is difficult to employ and retain highly effective educators. There is a need to create an incentive plan to retain and recruit teachers. TTIPS action steps include: (1) Implement *Educator Excellence* awards for student achievement and growth; (2) Implement *Educator Excellence* awards for teacher growth in professional practices; and (3) provide opportunities to move forward in their career at the campus level.

Data-based Plan: Educational research indicates the key to improving student performance is the teacher. The iLearn project will focus on developing the content knowledge and instructional practices of Williams Elementary teachers.

Building the abilities of each teacher will build the capabilities of the "pack" to improve teaching and learning.

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

County	-distric	numbe	r or vend	or ID: 0	57010-1	31			Amon	dmont #	(for ame	ndmont	o oply):	
Part 5	: Stude	nts to B	e Serve	d with C	rant Fu	ınds. En	ter the r	number o	of studen	ts in eac	th grade smaller	to be se	rved un	der the
PK (3-4)	к	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Tota
	120	115	110	119	123	142								732
grant p											ch grade smaller t			ider the
PK (3-4)	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Tota
8	8	lв	8	8	8	8								56

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Texas Education Age	ncv
---------------------	-----

Schedule #13—Needs Assessment

County-district number or vendor ID: 057910-131

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Continuous improvement is a systematic approach in school reform, including processes for data analysis, problem identification, root cause analysis, goal setting, intervention design, implementation, monitoring, and evidenced-based progress reporting.

Part 1: Process Description. Describe the process and activities in which you engaged to conduct a data analysis and needs assessment; and select the model, goals, and interventions to be implemented under this grant. In the description, include the team members involved in the planning process, frequency and timeline of planning meetings, and key activities/strategies used to facilitate decision making.

Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Data Analysis and Needs Assessment: Under the direction of the Asst Superintendent for School Improvement, the Hobbs Williams Elementary Campus Improvement Committee (CIC) was assembled to guide school improvement. Members involved in the committee include parents and community members as well as campus teachers and administrators. To ensure the team is reflective of the students served in the TTIPS project, committee membership was reviewed and additional team members were recruited representing targeted economically disadvantaged and ELL student populations. The new team, the Campus Leadership Team (CLT) was formed to plan, implement, and evaluate the TTIPS project. Key Activities to Facilitate Decision Making: To conduct a comprehensive needs assessment, the team worked with a TEA service provider to utilize the five steps of the Texas Accountability Intervention System (TAIS) framework to collect and analyze data. (1) The committee met to develop a prioritized set of problem statements representing the greatest area of need and the greatest area of impact for the campus. (2) Next, the vision and mission of the school were reviewed and the purpose of the needs assessment was established to keep reform focused on targeted outcomes. To conduct the data analysis, the team focused on four STAAR performance indexes: achievement, progress, closing performance gaps and post-secondary readiness. (3) The commttee identified topics for each performance index and collected data from multiple sources including formative and summative, quantitative and qualitative, short term and long term, and objective and subjective information. (4) After data was organized and collected, the team utilized TAIS questions to determine trends and patterns in data over time and their impact. (5) Finally, the root causes that contributed to each problem were identified using multiple sources of data. Selection of the Model: Once student and campus needs were identified, the team reviewed the components of each intervention model to match student and campus needs with model interventions. Based on the findings that Williams Elementary students score below expectations on 3rd grade STAAR and achievement gaps widen as they move through school, Campus Intervention Team selected the Early Learning Intervention Model. Entering 1st grade "School Ready" will ensure students are prepared to master Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills to meet standards on STAAR by 3rd grade and meet advanced standards by 5th grade. The TTIPS plan will include the components of the early learning model for grades PK-K. Because increase in student performance in grades 3 through 5 is essential, the transformation model will be implemented in grades 1-5. Selection of Goals, and Interventions: The CLT used TAIS guidance to develop TTIPS goals. The team reviewed campus goals outlined in the Campus Improvement Plan for Reading and Math and TEA accountability targets for the upcoming year. Then, the team studied handouts published by the Texas Education Agency explaining required and permissible reform strategies for each model. The committee developed a TTIPS Targeted Improvement Plan that outlines research based intervention activities for each goal that are aligned to the selected model. The team was careful to address all TEA requirements for the Early Learning Intervention model in the TTIPS plan. Implementation of Plan: A five year TTIPS Plan was developed to outline annual and summative goals for each Critical Success Factor. The plan includes an objective, interventions, performance targets, performance measures, and data collection tools to monitor progress each nine weeks and to evaluate effectiveness of specified interventions annually. The first year of implementation will focus on grade levels PK and K, the second year on implementing interventions in grades 1 and 2, and the third year on implementing interventions in grades 3-5. The final year of the project will focus on establishing systems to document and sustain TTIPS interventions shown by data to have a positive impact on student and participant growth. Frequency & Timeline of Planning Meetings: The CLT will meet each nine weeks to review project and student level data, determine if quarterly goals have been achieved, and submit the quarterly TTIPS report. In this way, the site based team will continuously monitor the effectiveness of TTIPS implementation and the impact of interventions on student achievement and growth, and on educator professional practice. In addition, the committee will examine budget expenditures at each quarterly meeting to monitor use of grant funds. After STAAR scores are received in the spring, the CLT will use feedback and data to conduct an annual evaluation of the project. The team will revise TTIPS timelines and targets based on the annual comprehensive needs assessment and CLT recommendations to create a TTIPS Campus Improvement Plan for the upcoming year.

	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Texas Education Agency		Standard Application System (SAS)		
	Schedule #13—Neer	ds Assessment (cont.)		
County-district number or vendo		Amendment # (for amendments only):		
Part 2: Model Selection and B	est-Fit. Indicate the interve	ntion model selected by the district/campus for		
	nited to space provided, from	nt side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.		
☐ Transformation				
with Rural LEA Flexibility modification				
Texas State-Design Model				
rexas diate-besign woder				
│ ⊠ Early Learning Intervention □	Model			
Turnaround				
with Rural LEA Flex	ibility modification			
WILL NO ALL CEATIES	ibility modification			
☐ Whole-School Reform				
☐ Restart				
_				
Part 3: Please describe/demoi	netrate why the colocted is	ntervention model best meets the unique needs of the		
		nly. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.		
Landa and a state of the state		assessment to determine the unique needs of Williams		
Elementary and recommend price	orities for reform based on t	he Early Learning Intervention model required components.		
NEED		MODEL		
(1) Achievement- Improve student achievement in		form Strategies: Implement early education initiative to		
reading and math for		tice, lower the student/teacher ratio, and monitor educator structure school staffing, calendars/time, and budgeting to		
economically disadvantaged		planning meetings lead by PreK Mentor and Instructional		
students, targeting ELL		roved professional practices. Develop a compensation plan		
students in reading. (B) Culture- Create a high		ave made a positive impact on student achievement.		
expectation, high		& Community Oriented Schools: iLearn Academy classes use state-of-the-art technologies to develop student talents		
achievement culture that		ion in iLearn competitions will be expected and		
values cultural and language	accomplishments will be c	elebrated. The district will provide operational flexibility		
differences.		ure schedules and implement the iLearn project.		
(C) Recruit and Retain High Quality Staff – train staff in		lity Data to Drive Instruction: Provide ongoing training o provide differentiated instruction and improve teaching		
using data to plan and		ECD students. Interventionists hired to work with students to		
implement lessons to		r ratio during class and tutorials. Increase Leadership		
improve student growth.		e educator evaluation process to include multiple		
	reflect growth in profession	er and self-evaluations. Revise the summative evaluation to nal practice and student performance.		
(D) Family Involvement-	Improve Family Involven	nent: Interventionists will coordinate parent events to		
Increase family participation		s for academic and personal growth of each student,		
to support students in	campus homework expect	ations such as setting a daily time for reading and math		
achieving academic and	homework, to demonstrate	e accessing class information and assignments on the		
personal goals.		romote participation as instructors in the iLearn Academy.		
Ohannaa aa Akka aa ka	For TEA			
Changes on this page have been cor	nirmed with:	On this date:		
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as app	propriate)	By TEA staff person:		

Texas Education Agency

Texas Education Age	ncv	
---------------------	-----	--

Schedule #13—Needs Assessment (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 057910-131

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 4: Model Selection-Stakeholder Input. Please describe how student families and community members were engaged in the needs assessment and planning process:

- Describe specific actions the campus/district took to solicit input from these stakeholders in selecting the model.
- Describe how this input was taken into consideration when selecting the model.
- Describe plans to meaningfully engage families and the community in the implementation of the selected model on an ongoing basis.

Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Stakeholder Input and Needs Assessment: It is important that the comprehensive needs assessment is an accurate assessment of the current reality of the school to focus reform strategies on priorities that address the source of the problem to positively impact student achievement. Stakeholder input is an important component since they provide an objective analysis of the school's record of serving students, and have a personal interest in planning priorities that reform effective teaching and learning. The Williams Elementary Campus Leadership Team (CLT) is comprised of students, parents, teachers, administrators and community members who have a keen knowledge of the vision and mission of the school and are dedicated to developing a plan that strategically meets the needs of our students. The CLT includes members from under-represented subpopulations within the school community to ensure all perspectives are brought under consideration and addressed. In the spring of 2015, the committee members worked with the Assistant Superintendent for School Improvement, Campus Principal, and PSP to conduct the comprehensive needs assessment. When assessment scores and reports were reviewed, it was determined there is a need to develop a school improvement plan for economically disadvantaged subpopulations to close achievement gaps and improve student performance. Within this subpopulation, the passing rates of English language learners must be targeted. Student Perceptions: To gain insight to the cause of student achievement problems, surveys were distributed to stakeholders. Interviews were conducted with students regarding their perception of assignments and homework, school clubs, and campus safety. The results indicate the vast majority of students like to come to school, the teachers are energetic and supportive, and the campus is a safe place. Parent Perceptions: Questions were posed to parents regarding their perception of campus expectations concerning completing assignments and homework, the level of campus safety and security, home access to instructional programs and resources, and school-home communication. The survey results expressed a moderate level of satisfaction with the services and communication from the school citing the Parent/Teacher conference, and Parent Teacher Organization (PTO) meetings as the activities most attended. Educator Perceptions: Each year, Grand Prairie ISD conducts a survey to gain insight into the perception of campus educators, the Campus Improvement Committee, and the District Improvement Committee regarded their perception of the school culture and climate, the effectiveness of school curriculum and instruction including accelerated learning interventions, and behavior and attendance initiatives. The results indicated a positive school climate. Campus educators indicated a need for: common planning time to plan and share ideas with peers, training in the use of data to differentiate instruction using new reporting systems, additional certified bilingual educators to conduct tutorials in English Language Arts and Reading, and improved technology systems and training for teachers and students. Seleting Model: Based on the problems identified and stakeholder input into the reasons the problem occurred, the committee identified priorities for reform. The committee then reviewed school improvement models and found the Early Learning Intervention model directly addresses the reform priorities identified by the CLT. When the model was recommended, the team of stakeholders considered the systems and resources available to the campus and developed a plan that outlines staff, assessments, curriculum programs, materials, professional development, and evaluation components necessary to successfully implement the TTIPS plan. Relying on the knowledge and insight of our school community has enabled us to develop an intervention plan that builds the quality of early learning programs to improve school readiness and builds on the power of technology to improve engagement in individualized lesson. Both are designed to close achievement gaps and improve student performance. Implementation of Model: Using TAIS guidance, the principal will lead the Campus Leadership Team to implement the TTIPS plan. The team will meet each quarter to: (a) Use performance targets as checkpoints to track student progress and identify problems; (b) Review multiple sources of data to determine root causes; (c) Review and revise TTIPS implementation when appropriate to meet annual goals; and (d) Recommend available resources to support implementation. Stakeholder Impact: The Williams Elementary TTIPS program will provide families and community members with the opportunities to engage in school improvement planning, design, and implementation. Through membership on the committee, members will learn important strategies for designing a systematic approach to school reform. Through the TTIPS project planning process, stakeholders have become informed and empowered leaders for change in their school, neighborhood, and community,

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Т	exas	Edi	ucation.	Agency

Schedule #14—Management Plan

County-district number or vendor ID: 057910-131

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 1: Staff Role and Qualifications. List campus and district personnel projected to be involved in the implementation and delivery of the program. Include all positions funded in whole or part by grant resources, along with those personnel involved in the implementation, but not funded through the grant. Provide a brief description of the position role/function in the grant; and desired qualifications, type and years of experience, and requested certifications. Ensure that the list and descriptions demonstrate the district will provide effective oversight and support for implementation of the selected model. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point

mc	del. Response is l	imited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial	
#	Title	Role/Function in Grant	Desired Qualifications, Experience, Certifications
1.	District Coordinator of School Improvement (DCSI) (required)	Responsibilities include leading the improvement team and ensuring district support for the academic achievement of Williams Elementary, leading the CIC in planning, implementing, and evaluating the TTIPS project.	The Asst Supt for School Improvement will serve as DCSI. A full-time certified TX administrator with excellent communication skills, and experience in leading successful school improvement projects & knowledge of state/federal school requirements & processes.
2.	Campus Principal	The campus principal was hired in 2013 when the school improvement process was initiated. This certified Texas educator will serve as the campus leader to oversee operations, curriculum, and leadership of the project.	Full time certified Texas educator with excellent team building and communication skills, successful experience in leading elementary campus in leading school improvement from Improvement Required to Acceptable.
3.	Project Coordinator	The Coordinator will work with the PSP and principal to implement the TTIPS grant program and evaluation activities including reporting. The director will support the use of electronic applications for data collection and reporting.	Full time certified Texas educator with excellent team building skills, experience in grant management, and knowledge of state and federal school improvement requirements and processes as well as state law & school policy.
4.	District Improvement Committee (DIC)	District level team responsible for development, implementation, and monitoring of the intervention plan, monitoring of student performance, and determination of student interventions & support services.	The Asst Supt for School Improvement will lead the DIC composed of key district personnel and stakeholders. Membership is determined by the district, based on TAIS guidelines and includes educators, parents, and community members.
5.	Professional Service Provider (PSP)	The PSP will work with the CLT to successfully implement intervention requirements and to submit reports of student progress to the Texas Education Agency (TEA) and the Texas Center for and School Support (TCDSS).	The PSP will be an approved member of the PSP Network selected based on documented success in working with districts to implement intervention requirements and to submit reports of student progress to the TEA and the TCDSS.
6.	Campus Improvement Committee	The CIC will successfully work with the District Intervention Team and Williams Elementary to meet state and federal academic achievement standards and will use their experience and expertise to monitor TTIP school improvement.	The CIC is composed of the Asst Supt for School Improvement (DCSI) and the Professional Service Provider (PSP)
7.	Campus Leadership Team (CLT)	The CLT, chaired by the principal, will be responsible for implementing TTIPS planning processes and site-based decision-making in accordance with Board policy and TEA requirements.	Team composed of district level DSCI; GPISD Curriculum, College Readiness, & Technology Directors; Principal, TTIPS staff including data clerk, parents, students, Covey, Flippen, JROTC reps; and PTO representative.
8.	CLI Texas School Ready PreK Mentor	Coordinate implementation of Texas School Ready program in PreK. Present training (CLI Engage, CIRCLE, Assessment, Classroom Mgmt, and conduct classroom observations.	Certified TX educator selected based on experience with leading the CLI Engage and TX School Ready early childhood program including conducting PLC & peer observations.
9,	Instructional Specialist (Kindergarten)	Coordinate implementation of TTIPS School Readiness activities in Kindergarten. Present training in assessment, best practices for ELL and ECD learners, and using data to design centers. Conduct classroom observations.	Certified TX educator selected based on experience with the TX School Ready program in preK, teaching and assessing Kindergarten students, using data to plan instruction and develop centers, and training peers.

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Texas Education Ager	าดข
----------------------	-----

Schedule #14—Management Plan (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 057910-131

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 2: External Provider Role and Qualifications. List all external provider contractors/consultants, selected by the district/campus, that are projected to be involved in the implementation and delivery of the program. Provide a brief description of the provider's unique function in the grant; and desired qualifications, experience, and requested certifications. Do not include contractors/consultants provided by the TTIPS SEA office (PSP, TCDSS or TEA staff).

Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Res	ponse is limited to	space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no	smaller than 10 point.
#	Title	Role/Function in Grant	Desired Qualifications, Experience, Certifications
10.	Instructional Specialists grade 1, 2-3, & 4-5)	Mentor grade level teachers, conduct staff training in data disaggregation and best practices during PLC Mtgs, co-teach best practices, and conduct peer evaluations.	Certified educator with at least 3 years teaching experience in the content area assigned, success in working with peers and at-risk students to improve performance.
11.	Career Teachers	Classroom teachers responsible for assessment, planning and implementing instruction, utilizing research based resources to improve performance, encouraging parent participation, & evaluating performance	Certified TX educator with at least 2 years of teaching experience in Grand Prairie ISD. Selected based on positive, energetic attitude, knowledge of subject area, and ability to work with students and on teams.
12.	Novice Teachers	Classroom teachers responsible for assessment, planning and implementing instruction, utilizing research based resources to improve performance, encouraging parent participation, & evaluating performance	Certified TX educator with one or two years of teaching experience in Grand Prairie ISD. Selected based on positive, energetic attitude, knowledge of subject area, and ability to work with students and on teams.
13.	Interventionists (PreK, K-1, 2-3, 4-5, tech integration)	Plan with teams during Professional Learning Community. Provides differentiated instruction for targeted students during class (inclusion) and teaches tutorials to reduce student/teacher ratio. Coordinates iLearn Academy.	Certified TX educator with at least 3 years of teaching experience in subject area. Selected based on success with improving student achievement for at-risk student populations and ability to work with teachers and on teams.
14.	Technician	Contracted to provide technical assistance in the purchase, installation, and troubleshooting of TTIPS technology devices. Duties include managing technology lending program.	GPISD technician with experience in district infrastructure, technology policies and procedures, and purchasing system.
15.	Social Worker	Conduct parent training sessions to use school and community resources to meet basic needs and improve attendance. Counsel families in using Keystone strategies to solve problems and set goals for success.	Certified counselor or social worker with experience in working with high need families. Selected based on positive, energetic attitude, knowledge of Keystone strategies, and ability to work with students, families, and educators.
16.	ESC Consultant Data Use	Contracted to provide training in use of data to inform instruction using multiple sources of data and multiple data analysis programs to track and evaluate student performance.	ESC, Region 10 consultant with experience in training teachers to use multiple data sources to differentiate instruction, and using technology-based systems to report progress.
17.	External Consultant - Reading	Contracted to present ongoing training and support for the implementation of technology based Reading program to accelerate learning including technology use in school and home.	Consultant selected based on reviews from districts. Credentials will be analyzed by CLT and consultant will be contracted annually based on evaluation.
18.	External Consultant - Math	Contracted to present ongoing training and support for the implementation of technology based Math program to accelerate learning including technology use in school and home.	Consultant selected based on reviews from districts. Credentials will be analyzed by CLT and consultant will be contracted annually based on evaluation.
19.	Flippen Consultants – Keystone Character PD	Keystone character education trainers contracted to conduct training in strategies for students to express themselves, take responsibility and handle problems.	Consultant selected based on expertise in supporting educators and students in building decision making skills that increase positive behavior and decrease incident reports.

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

T	exas	Educat	tion Ac	encv
---	------	--------	---------	------

3	L	- 33	18		W.	4			350			4		2.2			74	- 1
J	ш	υu	ıu	IU.	н.	4	Wid	Ш	ш	JU	me	21111	La Ea	ıaı	81	CC) []]	[2] :

County-district number or vendor ID: 057910-131

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 3: Commitment and Succession. Describe how the campus and district will ensure that all project participants remain committed to the project's success. Describe your succession management strategies and how this will enable the campus and district to deliver continuous high-quality programming when there are changes in key project personnel. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Hobbs Williams Elementary School is located in the heart of Grand Prairie Independent School District. The school opened in 2008 and was named after Hobbs Williams, a longtime educator and administrator with the Grand Prairie Independent School District. Our school has a diverse student population from a low income area in Dallas County. Approximately one-third of our students speak English as a second language and over eighty percent of our learners qualify for free or reduced lunch. Commitment to Success: The mission of Hobbs Williams Elementary School is to create and preserve a learning community that guarantees all students reach their goals and a high level of academic achievement as measured by state and national tests. The faculty and staff of Williams possess a wide variety of experiences and are dedicated to educating each child who walks through the doors. Through the TTIPS program we will implement multiple observation-based evaluations of professional practice and create an incentive award program to compensate educators who improve practices and increase student achievement and growth. These components of the Teacher Advancement Program (TAP) have proven successful in seven GPISD campuses by creating a positive culture that values each educator's individual talents and capabilities and provides opportunities to advance in their career. In addition to educator awards, through TTIPS, teachers will be empowered to pursue a variety of positions at the campus depending upon their interests, abilities, and accomplishments. The career levels each teacher has the opportunity to progress through at Williams Elementary include: (a) Novice Teacher-probationary educator with 1 to 2 years teaching experience in the district; (b) Career Teacher - educator with more than 2 years teaching experience in the district; (c) Instructional Specialist-educator with at least 3 years in subject area who serves as an Instructional Specialist for improving professional practice during common planning meetings; (d) PreK Mentor and Instructional Specialists educators with successful experience in leading peers to improve professional practices resulting in improved student performance; (e) TTIPS Coordinator-educator with experience leading school reform strategies and managing grant programs; and (f) Administrator-educator serving in campus leadership position. Williams Elementary educators are committed to improving the lives of each child. As educators develop talents and abilities to move up the career ranks, the educator's roles and responsibilities will increase and compensation will also increase. The career framework that provides teachers with financial and career incentives will build self-confidence, professional practice, and leadership abilities to improve teaching and learning. Success in improving educator practices and student performance will keep our educators committed to the project. Succession Management: Williams Elementary will integrate succession management in all campus operations and among all levels of educators to ensure high quality programming when there are changes in key personnel: Teachers - Educators attend training during in-service, summer institutes and conferences to implement curriculum programs in each content area. All teachers will meet weekly in common planning meetings. The PreK Mentor and Instructional Specialists will lead these professional learning community (PLC) meetings. During meetings, the specialists will work with educators to use data to develop lessons and demonstrate best practices. The specialists will conduct at least one observation each semester with Novice and Career Teachers to support educators in using technology tools to deliver individualized lessons. Interventionists will be hired to attend PLCs and work with teachers to provide differentiated lessons during classroom instruction and tutorials. Through this process of job-embedded training, observation, and co-teaching each teacher will have the support needed to develop skills and meet student performance goals that have been set for each grade level and subject area. The PLC model is designed to enable each teacher to develop instructional skills and leadership capabilities to move forward on the career track without leaving the campus. Leadership - In the TAP model, campus administrators, the PreK Mentor, and Instructional Specialists form a leadership team to deliver ongoing support through common planning time and observation-based evaluations. Instructional Specialists will attend professional development to conduct training including use of multiple data sources to individualize instruction for targeted students, to lead Keystone student leadership activities, and to conduct peer evaluations. The campus Principal will supervise the PreK Mentor and Instructional Specialists in leading school improvement and the Assistant Principal and Project Coordinator will guide the educators in meeting performance targets and goals. This distributive leadership process enables the Principal to identify and develop future leaders and ensures quality programming when there are changes in personnel in leadership positions, All Stakeholders: Williams Elementary is a campus where each staff member feels supported and empowered to gain the results they value over time. We have a culture of high trust, high expectation, and high urgency when it comes to the education of our students. It is this culture that motivates teachers to deliver continuous high level programming to keep each team member committed to meeting our mission for students.

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

T	exas	Edu	cation	Αa	encv
	~~~			, , , , , ,	~ · · · · · · ·

C	2	- 1	101	411	la #4	A 250000	Ma	nac	reme	est C	Hai	. /	ant	1

County-district number or vendor ID: 057910-131

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 4: Sustainability. What elements of your proposed project are designed to significantly increase capacity or create a lasting change to campus culture and practices that shall be sustained after the grant period ends? How will the LEA provide continued funding and support to sustain the reform after the grant period ends? Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Grand Prairie ISD is an innovative learning community vigorously pursuing student success. Hobbs Williams Elementary and Grand Prairie ISD are committed to sustaining effective reform strategies established through the TTIPS program after the project ends. Longitudinal research commissioned by the Texas Education Agency indicates several components are essential to sustaining an effective school improvement program. The proposed project will develop and sustain the program using these proven components:

- > Establishment of data systems to guide decisions: Leadership teams (the principal, PreK Mentor and Instructional Specialists, Interventionists, and instructional consultants) will attend ESC Region 10 training to use a data analysis protocol that analyzes cumulative state level data at the knowledge and skills level. Unit test data and state assessment results from Edugence (the state student data solution) will be used to identify student risk factors, prescribe intervention and prevention services, and monitor student progress in each component of the project. Changes in number and occurrence of participant risk factors will be analyzed using system reports.
- > Academic Supports: The school schedule will be revised to hold iLearn Academies each week. Technology-based programs will include: Reading and Math tutorials using computer based programs that automate practice of targeted skills; a digitized piano lab to compose and produce music, a green room to produce school announcements and news using electronic equipment, a technology lab with software and hardware capable of creating web based media. Students will enroll in iLearn classes based on interests and talents. Nine week tests and STAAR scores will be analyzed with students to ensure they enroll in classes that will have a positive impact on achievement. STAAR goals will be analyzed annually to ensure the iLearn strategy is effective and to determine if the program will be sustained.
- > Social Supports: A social worker will be hired to work with families at Williams Elementary. The counselor will monitor student risk factors including attendance and incident reports to coordinate school and community services for families. The counselor will coordinate the Keystone (Flippen Group) character education and leadership development program to empower students to make rational decisions and exhibit positive behavior. When problems arise, the counselor will work with families to use the strategies to move forward in a productive manner. The duties of the Social Worker will be revised based on the analysis of discipline and attendance data to ensure the positive attributes of participation in the club become an integral component of Williams Elementary procedures for serving at-risk student populations.
- ➤ Highly qualified and certified staff committed to working with at-risk students: Two components of the Teacher Advancement Program (TAP) model for improving teacher professional practice will be utilized to develop a highly qualified and certified staff. The PreK Mentor and Instructional Specialists will conduct observation-based evaluations to support educator strengths and diminish weaknesses. Educators will be rewarded based on improved professional practices on Skills, Knowledge, and Responsibility (SKR) evaluations and increased student growth on STAAR. The district and campus will sustain the peer observations after the project ends based on improved performance data.
- > Ongoing, high-quality staff development: The professional development model established for the Early Learning Intervention model provides training institutes in the summer and ongoing training, modeling, and evaluation through weekly Professional Learning Community (PLC) meetings. During meetings the PreK Mentor and Instructional Specialist will present training, support teachers in administering assessments, demonstrate use of data to plan instruction, model best practices, and review annual progress. The Parent Training Center will be established to host trainings and provide weekly demonstrations of homework assistance. All instructional specialists will hold parent training in the center. The professional development model will be continued based on data analysis of student promotion rates to the next grade.
- > Incorporation of the model into the ongoing work of project partners: Williams Elementary educators, ESC Region 10 consultants (Data Analysis), Texas Education Agency (TAP Guidance), Flippen Group trainers (Keystone), and the Children's Learning Institute (Texas School Ready) PreK Mentor will work together to develop educational reform models to improve student performance. The team will monitor and evaluate implementation of program components to incorporate the successes of the early learning model into current projects including: (a) ESC Region Leading Learning 3D: Instruction to Action –professional development for secondary schools using data to inform practice; (b) Texas Education Agency Educator Excellence Innovation Program is a project sponsored by TEA to implement TAP strategies and improve educator effectiveness in Texas public schools; and (c) Flippen Group Keystone–assists students to develop leadership qualities that develop their own self-worth to approach life ready to achieve. Collaborative partners will continue to seek grant program funding to support and sustain the project in future years.

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

1	exas	Ed	ucation Ager	1CV
---	------	----	--------------	-----

#### Schedule #15—Project Evaluation

County-district number or vendor ID: 057910-131

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 1: Establishing Performance Measures. Describe the processes used to establish challenging yet attainable performance measures that will result in substantially improved student achievement and the campus' ability to exit lowest-performing status. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

The Texas Education Agency has identified Critical Success Factors that have been shown by research to be most impactful to achieve continuous school improvement. These Critical Success Factors form the framework of the TTIPS program. Performance measures are used to monitor the impact of each Critical Success Factor on student and educator performance. Developing performance measures is a processs. The Campus Leadership Team (CLT) examined each Critical Success Factor. Then:

- > Annual goals were developed for each Critical Success Factor for the 5 year period.
- > Performance measures were created for each goal to provide an expected outcome of student and educator performance. For example: Improve STAAR scores of economically disadvantaged learners to meet state standards of 65% passing.
- ➤ Performance targets and assessment tools were identified for each quarter were developed to enable our educators to monitor progress toward meeting annual goals. For example, a 9 week target: All economically disadvantaged students get 80% of answers correct on 9 week unit test.
- > Interventions activities were selected to meet performance targets. The team identified proven interventions to address identified needs and move students from the current student achievement to the required state and federal achievement standards. Approaches considered and selected are based on research, district philosophies, and staff development evaluations and meet TTIPS and Texas Early Learning Model requirements.

The Campus Leadership Team will use performance measures to challenge educators to meet student performance and educator excellence goals. Monitoring of performance measure will give us the information necessary to make intelligent decisions to adjust implementation when annual goals are not being met.

Part 2: Data Collection. Describe the processes for collecting data at a detailed level to inform effectiveness of each intervention. Data at a detailed level would include examples such as: participation rates at the activity-level, dosage rates of an intervention per student, teacher practice observed rates at the targeted strategy-level, or academic outcome data at the activity-level per student. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Data will be collected in for each intervention to determine whether the intervention was implemented appropriately as well as to determine the impact of the intervention on student achievement and educator performance. Linking data collection to each Critical Success Factor will enable the Campus Leadership Team to study the impact of interventions separately from the effects of other factors that impact student achievement. The Project Coordinator will be responsible for coordinating training for staff in data collection and will ensure data is collected quarterly.

Program Level Data: The Principal, Project Coordinator, PreK Mentor, and Instructional Specialists who are responsible for the implementation of project interventions will collect program level data using Edugence and Skyward. The electronic systems provides real-time data to educators and administrators on activity level participation including: types and number of professional development trainings, individual teacher attendance in professional development, type and number of Reading and Math interventions implemented, individual student attendance in each intervention, types and numbers of technology checked-out, individual student use of each technology; family training conducted by type and number; and individual family member participation in training. In addition, teacher practice will be monitored using multiple observation-based evaluations. During observations, information concerning teacher use of targeted best practices, development of content knowledge, and completion of responsibilities will be collected on Skills, Knowledge, and Responsibility (SKR) Observation Forms. The observations form allows evaluators to assign a number value for each targeted performance level. Also, the type and numbers of educator incentives awarded and the individual educators receiving award by type and amount will be reported.

Student Level Academic Data: Project participants including teachers and campus leadership will utilize the technology based program, Eduzence, to collect and report student level data for each intervention. The electronic system provides real-time data to educators and administrators on attendance, discipline, class grades, and retention rates. In addition the electronic program will provide scores for unit tests, STAAR benchmarks, and the STAAR assessment. The electronic system enables data to be collected for each individual student and reported by grade, class, subpopulation, and student and will provide information concerning student achievement and growth.

**Reporting Data:** The principal will be responsible for reviewing and approving data and ensuring reports are submitted on time and accurately to the Campus Improvement Team and the Campus Leadership Team and that required reports are submitted on-time and as requested to the Texas Education Agency.

For TEA Use Only					
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:				
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:				

Texas Education Agency	encv	Αa	tion	uca	Ed	exas	T
------------------------	------	----	------	-----	----	------	---

## Schedule #15—Project Evaluation (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 057910-131

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 3: Assessing effectiveness of interventions. Describe the processes and staff responsible for assessing the effectiveness of program activities and interventions on an ongoing basis. How are problems with project delivery to be identified and corrected throughout the project?

Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point

The Campus Improvement Committee designed the TTIPS evaluation plan to include TTIPS goals for each Critical Success Factor, intervention activities, performance measures, evaluation methods, indicators of success, and reporting methods. To assess the effectiveness of each intervention, the evaluation plan consists of both formative components conducted and summative components. Formative components of the evaluation are conducted during the course of the school year to determine effectiveness of implementation. Summative components of the evaluation are conducted annually to examine the impact of the intervention on student achievement. An example of one Critical Success Factor (improve student achievement) with both formative and summative evaluation methods is shown below.

Critical	Intervention	Performance	Evaluation	Indicators of Success				Reporting
Success Factor	Activities	Measures	Methods	Yr1	Yr2	-Yr3	-Yr4	Methods
	Data use training conducted during in-service	% students who meet passing criteria on 9 week unit test (% correct each quartile by class and grade level)	Educator attendance	70%	75%	80%	85%	Edugence
	9 week plan developed based on data		TTIPS Plan documents					Report Graph of student,
Improve Student	Weekly PLC Mtgs- plan data based instruction		9 wk Unit Test					class and grade level performance on unit tests
Achievement	to extend learning time	% students meet standard STAAR Reading	Begin-of-Yr CPALLS & TPRI, previous year STAAR	70%	75%	80%	85%	Edugence Report Graph of
	Semi-annual benchmark administered	(scale score each quartile by all students	Middle-of-Yr CPALLS & TPRI, Benchmark STAAR					student, class and grade level
	Summer school scheduled based on benchmark data	and targeted student groups	End-of-Year CPALLS & TPRI, STAAR					performance on STAAR assessment

Identification/Correction of Problems: An important part of an effective improvement plan is the allowance for flexibility and adjustments to the plan as data reveals the need to make corrections. The Williams Middle School TTIPS Plan outlines annual school improvement goals and indicators of success based on the comprehensive needs assessment. The PSP will lead the district and campus level school improvement teams through the process of setting rigorous, attainable and aligned performance targets that will indicate significant progress in turning around the school. Our campus will submit Annual Performance Goals and progress targets for each of the implementation years of the grant. These Annual Performance Goals and performance targets shall be incorporated within the campuses' TAISbased annual Targeted Improvement Plan. Formative Data In August of each year, the PSP and Project Coordinator will lead the campus faculty in reviewing data and developing plans to meet the first 9 week performance targets. Each nine weeks, the leaders will meet with educators to review program level data, celebrate successes, and develop plans to meet performance targets for the next 9 weeks. The CLT will also hold a quarterly meeting to report progress to TEA and use the data to timely corrections and adjustments to the TTIPS implementation plan. Summative Data: The Campus Improvement Committee and Campus Leadership Team will meet with the District Intervention Team (DIT) to review project level data to determine if the project was implemented as planned. The teams will also analyze student level data to determine if academic achievement and growth targets have been achieved. Educator evaluation data will be reviewed to determine if professional practices targets have been achieved. The information will be used to create the Targeted Improvement Plan for the upcoming year. The data analysis will enable educators to use the most effective intervention activities and implementation plans to increase the number of performance targets and goals achieved. Annual reports will be submitted to TEA and TCDSS in the format and on the timeline requested.

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

#### Schedule #16—Responses to Statutory Requirements

County-district number or vendor ID: 057910-131

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Statutory Requirement 1: Describe your rigorous review process used to select highest-quality and best-fit external providers for your project. Include processes to:

- Identify a reasonably sized pool of prospective external providers
- Assess level of experience in delivering the work
- Determine a history of prior success; consistent strong results in similar projects
- Conduct a risk-assessment related to contracting
- Execute final selection and procurement

Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

The Campus Leadership Team (CLT) has recommended Williams Elementary contract with external service providers to support the campus in implementation of the TTIPS transformation plan. Identify a reasonably sized pool of prospective external providers: Grand Prairie ISD has access to a large

pool of external providers from the Dallas Ft. Worth metropolitan region including Children's Learning Institute (UT Houston Health Science Center), Education Service Center Region 10 and Texas Center for District and School Support (TCDSS) consultants, Grand Prairie ISD utilizes TCDSS guidance and follows the procedures outlined in TAIS guidance for selecting and screening external providers:

- ✓ Identify reasons for hiring an external provider based on the Comprehensive Needs Assessment and Targeted Improvement Plan:
- ✓ Engage stakeholders in determining the need to hire external providers and ensure the entire process is transparent and fair;
- ✓ Articulate specific goals of the relationship with the external provider, including stating measurable expectations and the criteria to be used to select and evaluate external partners;
- ✓ Create conditions to attract multiple high quality external partners including providing flexible timelines for consultation and appropriate space and technology tools for conducting training; and
- ✓ Budget adequate funding to support relationships with the external partner during the duration of the

Assess level of experience in delivering the work: Our campus team will utilize Grand Prairie ISD Human Resources policies for securing contracted services including conducting an interview with the external provider's leadership team to determine experience and qualifications to meet specific TTIPS goals Determine a history of prior success in similar projects: To determine a history of prior success in positively impacting student achievement in low achieving schools, the hiring process will include checking references of the external provider. Professional Service Provider (PSP) - The PSP who currently works with Williams Elementary School is an approved member of the PSP Network. The educator was selected to work with our campus based on successful experience in working with the campus to implement a TTIPS transformation model from 2013 to 2015. The PSP has provided excellent technical assistance in working with the Region X Education Service Center and the Texas Center for District and School Support (TCDSS) to access research based programs and practices. professional development tools, and resources for school improvement. The ability of the PSP to provide the following support and technical assistance to Williams Elementary will be evaluated annually: Training on the Early Learning Intervention model; on-site technical assistance and support in the implementation of the intervention model; assistance with communication and reporting to TEA and TCDSS; and on-going technical assistance including meetings, phone calls, online conferences, training, and mentoring. Curriculum Consultants - The district will contract with the UT Health Science Center Children's Learning Center to provide training in CLI Engage and the Texas School Ready program. In addition, external consultants will be selected based on a history of prior success in the implementation of transformation projects in our district and/or similar districts in the state.

Conduct a risk-assessment related to contracting: The Assistant Superintendent for School Improvement will work closely with the Campus Leadership Team and PSP to find the most qualified external providers with a proven record of success in working with schools in improvement.

Execute final selection and procurement: The GPISD Business Operations Handbook procedures will be used to negotiate and execute a contract that outlines roles and responsibilities of the external partner and district, and to specify conditions for termination or modification of contracted services. Payment will be made to external consultants based on policies stated in the GPISD Business Operations Handbook.

For TEA Use Only							
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:						
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:						

## Schedule #16—Responses to Statutory Requirements (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 057910-131

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Statutory Requirement 2: External Provider Oversight. Describe your rigorous and ongoing process to provide oversight to external providers to ensure their continued quality and success in meeting project deliverables. Include in the description:

- · Proposed schedule to regularly review external provider performance
- Campus/district personnel responsible for oversight and management of providers
- Process/instruments used to measure and monitor success of providers
- Corrective actions or additional supports utilized to improve provider performance
- Criteria/sequence of actions to be taken to remove/replace a low performing provider

Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

A contract with each external provider's services will be established during the hiring process following the policies outlined in the Grand Prairie ISD Business Operations Handbook. The contract will specify the role and expectations of the external provider and the district. To foster an environment of continuous improvement, the contract will also specify the formative and summative outcomes measures that will be used to determine success and a timetable for measuring outcomes.

Proposed schedule to regularly review external provider performance: Evaluation of each external consultant will be embedded in the larger TTIPS evaluation plan. The consultant's work will be evaluated on an ongoing basis and as part of the summative TTIPS evaluation that is conducted each year. *Ongoing Review:* The TTIPS Project Coordinator will implement strategies for ongoing feedback including reviewing Professional Development Evaluations completed by teachers after training sessions and debriefing after on-site consultation with teams. Providers will also attend quarterly TTIPS data meetings to review progress of the school toward improvement goals. *Annual Review:* During the summative evaluation at the end of each school year, the effectiveness of the consultant in positively impacting teaching and learners as well as the effectiveness of the school in implementing the provider's approach will be part of the annual evaluation. Because improvement is a long-term process, the annual review will be a benchmark for measuring progress in improving student performance during the TTIPS four year implementation. In this way, the external consultants will be held accountable to the same goals and outcomes as all TTIPS educators and leaders.

Campus/district personnel responsible for oversight and management of providers: Ongoing evaluation and communication regarding are vital to ensuring that school improvement outcomes are kept on track. The Principal and Project Coordinator will evaluate the provider's services on an *ongoing* basis. The Campus Leadership Team, under the leadership of the Assistant Superintendent for School Improvement will conduct annual evaluation as part of the summative TTIPS evaluation.

**Process/instruments used to measure and monitor success of providers**: Data-driven decision making is the basis of the evaluation of the proposed TTIPS project. Data used for the evaluation of external consultants will be specified from TTIPS evaluation components that measure improvement of teaching and learning. The same data, performance measures, performance targets, and annual goals will be used for students, teachers, leaders, and external providers.

Corrective actions or additional supports utilized to improve provider performance: Ongoing feedback will prevent the provider from potentially being surprised by a final evaluation that indicates unsatisfactory services.

Criteria/sequence of actions to be taken to remove/replace a low performing provider: Improved student achievement and school success are goals of the TTIPS program. Low performing service providers will be replaced. Consequences for not achieving specific outcomes will be specified in the contract.

FORTEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

mtgs, the Advancing Improvements in Education Conference, and sharing of best practices. For TEA Use Only On this date: Changes on this page have been confirmed with: Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) By TEA staff person:

TTIPS leaders will restructure the campus Parent Center schedule to provide school day and after school

A team from Williams Elementary will attend and participate in grant orientation meetings, technical assistance

training for parents to support student completion of daily Reading time and homework assignments. A team from Williams Elementary will present the TTIPS Targeted Improvement Plan to the District and

Campus Improvement Committee each spring.

18.

19.

20.

LOVE	-0110	notion.	AMARKY
16703		<i>-</i> au	Agency

## Schedule #16—Responses to Statutory Requirements (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 057910-131

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Statutory Requirement 4: Coordinated and Integrated Efforts. Describe any ongoing, existing efforts that are similar or related to the planned project. How will you coordinate efforts to maximize effectiveness of grant funds? Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Hobbs Williams Elementary serves a diverse student population. The majority of learners come from low income homes. A child may confront poverty in their home environment but the place a person comes from does not define the dignity or talent of the individual. Our campus will build on the strengths of our students and educators to improve student performance. Lessons learned from successful school improvement program in our district will guide and maximize effectiveness our our efforts.

- 1. Texas Title 1 Priority Schools (TTIPS) Adams Middle School was awarded a TTIPS Cycle 2 grant during the 2011-12, 2012-13, and 2013-14 school years. The campus implemented an exemplary transformation program that received a national award for student growth under the model. The Grand Prairie ISD leadership team has presented project implementation strategies that had a positive impact on student growth. The implementation plan of our program is based on the successful Adams Middle School model.
- 2. Teacher Incentive Fund (TIF) Grand Prairie ISD was awarded a TIF grant in 2010. Utilizing TIF grant funds, the district has developed a comprehensive, research-based and nationally proven school reform model that seeks to improve teacher instruction and student achievement. GPISD uses the model as an effective way to implement the Teacher Advancement Program (TAP) to attract, develop, motivate and retain talented people to the teaching profession. TIF schools operate based on four interrelated elements: multiple career paths, ongoing applied professional development, instructionally focused accountability and performance-based compensation. The proposed plan focuses on implementing the project in three additional elementary schools and two middle schools to offer career opportunities that improve student and teacher learning. We will use the structure of the evaluation system developed through TIF to develop a successful model for the TTIPS program.
- **3. Educator Excellence Innovation Program (EEIP)** In 2014, Grand Prairie Independent School District was awarded a 2 year Educator Excellence Innovation Program award. The grant program enables campuses in the district to implement a Teacher Advancement Program (TAP) evaluation system during the 2014-15 and 2015-16 school years. The EEIP program provides our district educators with experience in the development of a rigorous, transparent, and equitable evaluation system for teachers and principals. We will use lessons learned to build revise the campus evaluation system including:
  - a. Conducting multiple observation-based assessments of professional performance in grades K-5 using the TAP Skills, Knowledge, and Responsibilities observation rubric. Our program will use this format in grades K-5 and the Classroom Observation Tool (COT) in Pre-K;
  - b. Identifying and rewarding school leaders, teachers, and other staff who, in implementing this model, have increased student achievement. The Williams TTIPS plan will use the TAP compensation plan that rewards student performance (achievement and student growth), and professional performance.
  - c. Providing staff ongoing, high-quality, job-embedded professional development that is conducted during weekly Cluster Group Meetings and designed with school staff to ensure they are equipped to facilitate effective teaching and learning. Our program will implement weekly Professional Learning Communities (PLCs); and
  - d. Providing a framework for removal of educators who do not take advantage of learning opportunities and do not improve practice. The TTIPS plan utilizes GPISD Human Resources policies that have been revised based on the TAP model to remove educators from employment.

The Educator Excellence program provides state-of-the art reform strategies to improve educator and leadership effectiveness, a key component of the proposed TTIPS project.

Grand Prairie ISD has assigned the Assistant Superintendent for School Improvement to be the District Coordinator of School Improvement (DCSI). The district leader supervises TTIPS, TIF, EEIP grant programs for the district. Under her leadership, the district will support Hobbs Williams Elementary in maximizing funds, resources, and systems of the larger district in order to implement, fully and effectively, the required reform strategies of the Early Learning Intervention Model.

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Sci	nedule #16—Responses to St	Standard Application System (SA tatutory Requirements (cont.)
County-district number or vend		Amendment # (for amendments only):
who led the school prior to the first year at the applicant org not have been principal of th respond to the prompts in the talents not proposing a Tra	FORMATION, EARLY LEARN commencement of the model. It anization must have began a expelicant organization priorable below.  Instormation, Early Learning or	NING or TURNAROUND model must replace the principal Specifically, for Cycle 4 implementation, the principal it or during school year 2014-2015. The principal may r to school year 2014-2015. These applicants shall Turnaround model, shall indicate below with "N/A". ial font, no smaller than 10 point.
Name of principal who will be in place through the implementation of the model:	Anna Anderson	
Hire date, or anticipated hire date of the principal who will be in place for implementation of the model:		be the principal of Williams Elementary at the beginning of hen the school was placed in Required Improvement.

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Texas Education Agency		Standard Application System (SAS)
Sci	nedule #16—Responses to Statutory Req	uirements (cont.)
County-district number or vend	or ID: 057910-131	Amendment # (for amendments only):
Statutory Requirement 6: Ru Rural LEA Applicants proposin modification to one element of Flexibility option, please respon Applicants not proposing a mod	ral LEA Flexibility g a TRANSFORMATION or TURNAROUND the model. If proposing to modify one eleme nd to the prompts in the table below. dification/ not eligible to propose a modificati rovided, front side only. Use Arial font, no sr	O model have the <u>option</u> to propose a ent of the model under the Rural LEA ion shall indicate below with "N/A".
Element in the model selected for modification:	N/A	
Description of the modification:	N/A	
How intent of the original element remains/will be met:	N/A	

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

### Schedule #16—Responses to Statutory Requirements (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 057910-131

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Statutory Requirement 7: Evaluation Systems for Teachers and Principals, accounting for student growth Applicants proposing a TRANSFORMATION, TEXAS STATE-DESIGN, or EARLY LEARNING model must use a rigorous, transparent, and equitable evaluation system that takes into account student growth as a significant factor. Please review the description of requirements of the evaluation systems under these models in Schedule #2 Provisions and Assurances.

These applicants shall respond to the prompts in the table below.

Applicants not proposing a Transformation, Texas State-Design or Early Learning model shall indicate below with "N/A". Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Describe the data sources for student growth accounted for in the teacher and principal evaluation system. Include how student growth is weighted in evaluation: The Project Director will work with the DSCI and PSP to create a TTIPS Evaluation Summary. The evaluation table will include the program objective, performance targets, data collection dates and assessment tools, and annual goals for each grade level. The PreK Mentor, Instructional Specialists, and Interventionists will work with educators to analyze student growth on formative data each nine weeks during Professional Learning Community (PLC) meetings. Summative data (CPALLS, TPRI, and STAAR) will be collected and analyzed after all test administrations. The Campus Leadership Team will review data reports that graph student growth on scores by student, skill, and percent mastery for each content area and for each targeted student populations including economically disadvantaged and English language learners. The team will revise the district TAP Compensation Table to outline compensation based on the student growth measures for CPALLS, TPRI, and STAAR assessments. Student growth will be weighted as 30% of the evaluation and 30% of the incentive award.

Describe how the evaluation system design includes multiple observation-based assessments and ongoing collections of professional practice:

The educator evaluation process will be restructured to consist of multiple observationbased assessments of teachers and leaders. The Campus Leadership Team composed of campus administrators, PreK Mentor will use the Classroom Observation Tool (COT), and Instructional Specialists will use the Skills, Knowledge, and Responsibilities (SKR) observation form to conduct informal peer evaluations. Campus administrators will use TX Teacher Evaluation and Support System (T-TESS) evaluation forms and procedures to administer formal evaluations. Teachers will be observed each quarter. At least half of the classroom observations will be unannounced. In addition, to the evaluations conducted by administrators and peers, the teacher will also complete a self evaluation before each observation. Each evaluation will use the SKR observation tool to gather evidence of professional practice and the PreK Mentor and Instructional Specialists will provide targeted evidenced-based feedback to teachers. Because evaluators will be in the classroom on multiple occasions, teacher perspectives will be recognized, ongoing communication and collaboration between evaluator and teacher will be established. and ongoing collections of professional practice will be collected. The result will be improved student achievement and increased teacher growth and development.

Describe how the evaluation system was developed with teacher and principal involvement:

GPISD formed a committee of educators, parents, and community members to develop the district Teacher Advancement Program (TAP) evaluation plan. When a campus is interested in adopting the TAP model, the Campus Improvement Committee meets to review the program. The committee overviews the program to the campus and the faculty and staff vote to determine if the program will be implemented at the school. After the faculty overwhelmingly approved the program, the Campus Leadership Team gained District Improvement Committee and Board approval. The Campus Improvement Committee developed the campus TAP Evaluation Plan that provides the policies, measurement instruments, compensation model, and criteria for teacher evaluation. The plan to be implemented in 2015-16 is based on the following criteria set by the teachers and principals: Three measures are used to determine the teacher's evaluation. Each teacher will earn a score based on his or her performance as compared to the standards: (1) COT and SKR cumulative scores from multiple observations; (2) classroom student growth gains; and (3) campus achievement gains.

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Texas	Edu	cation	Aq	ency	, .
-------	-----	--------	----	------	-----

										nts		

County-district number or vendor ID: 057910-131

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Statutory Requirement 8: Educator Reward and Removal

Applicants proposing a TRANSFORMATION, TEXAS STATE-DESIGN, or EARLY LEARNING model must have protocols to identify and reward school leaders, teachers, and other staff who have increased student achievement; and identify/remove those who have not improved their professional practice. Please review the description of requirements for educator reward and removal under these models in Schedule #2 Provisions and Assurances.

These applicants shall respond to the prompts in the table below.

Applicants not proposing a Transformation, Texas State-Design or Early Learning model shall indicate below with "N/A".

Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Describe the rewards available for educators who have increased student achievement in implementing the model:

Teachers earn performance-based compensation based on evaluation measures: COT or SKR scores, classroom value added achievement scores, and school-wide value added achievement scores. Williams Elementary has established a \$2500 per teacher annual performance award fund. Performance awards will be based on the following:

- 50% for the average teacher evaluation score on the TTIPS Evaluation Summary Report as indicated by cumulative Skills, Knowledge, and Responsibilities scores;
- 30% for subject area student growth based on the percentage of targeted subgroups who met student growth standards on CPALLS, TPRI, or STAAR;
- 20% for school-wide student achievement based on the percentage of students who met proficiency standards on CPALLS, TPRI, or STAAR. In the event that the student growth portion is not applicable due to teaching assignment, the teacher's 30% weight for student growth gains will be shifted to student growth gains of the campus. This will be applicable for teachers of untested subjects areas and grade levels.

Describe protocols/interventions to support teachers who are strugaling to improve professional practice:

All Williams Elementary teachers are provided the opportunity and resources to improve their skills and raise student growth and achievement indicators. This is particularly relevant for teachers who are not meeting the criteria for effectiveness. Professional development does not end with the Professional Learning Community (PLC). TTIPS teachers will also receive individualized support in their classrooms. This support is based on the needs of the teacher and may vary from lesson planning to a PreK Mentor or Instructional Specialist modeling the strategy in a teacher's classroom. The value of this support is magnified by the fact that the teacher receives guidance from the same PreK Mentor or Instructional Specialist throughout the year, ensuring that these lead teachers, as the provider of professional development and peer evaluations, has had an active role in tracking the progress and meeting the needs of each specific teacher. Williams Elementary School leaders will use the GPISD protocol of developing an Individual Growth Plan for the teacher that specifies participation in intensive support and outlining practices that must be implemented to improve practice.

Describe the criteria established for educator removal:

When teachers do not respond to individualized support to improve practice, the Williams Elementary principal will use the GPISD protocol of developing an Individual Growth Plan for the teacher that specifies participation in intensive support and outlining practices that must be implemented to improve practice. If the teacher fails to meet the professional development and professional practice requirements, the GPISD Human Resources protocol is followed for removing an educator from employment.

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

exas Education Agency	Standard Application System (SAS)
Schedule #16—Responses to Statu	itory Requirements (cont.)
County-district number or vendor ID: 057910-131	Amendment # (for amendments only):
Statutory Requirement 9: Non-Academic/Social-Emotional Statutory Requirement 9: Non-Academic/Social-Emotional Statutory Requirement at TEXAS STATE-DESIGN, TURNAROUND Comprehensive provisions for appropriate non-academic supports services.	D, or WHOLE SCHOOL REFORM model must include
These applicants shall list and describe the non-academic, social per provided to students in the space below.	-emotional, and community-oriented services that will
Applicants not proposing a Texas State-Design, Turnaround, or Vicesponse is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial for	
NA	oni, no smaller than 10 point.
	i de la companya de

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

_			4 4 4		
I AYSS	1-011	cation	Ane	3170	١/
			- 14	- 10	¥

		Statutory Requirements (cont.)		
County-district number or vend		Amendment # (for amendments only):		
implemented for all students in High School (ECHS). Please Assurances. These applicants shall respond shall indicate below with "N/A".	the school, which is consisted review the description of the to the prompts in the table is	school-wide strategy st deliver a comprehensive school improvement strategy, ent with the Texas concept for developing an Early College Texas state-design model in Schedule #2 Provisions and pelow. Applicants not proposing a Texas State-Design model Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.		
Leshouse is intilled to shace b	Tovided, Iront side only. Use	Anamoni, no smaller than 10 point.		
Identify the IHE partner in place for the early college high school development and implementation. Include the title/role of the IHE primary point of contact, and essential agreements reached at this point:	N/A			
Propose an Exemplar Early College High School partner campus in place to serve as the demonstration site/model school. Explain why this school is an good partner for your development:	N/A			
Describe the sustainable source of funds or fee waiver plan that will enable students to access college courses, TSI assessments, textbooks and college fees; without cost to the student:	N/A			
Changes on this case have be	tyrettirkilet oo eta dijilgiddid, waxan riis i ta in oo it i doodd Arek Arek joodi, ta'e	Use Only		
Changes on this page have been co	oniimea with:	On this date:		
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)		By TEA staff person:		

Texas Education Agency		Standard Application System (SAS
Sch	nedule #16—Responses to St	atutory Requirements (cont.)
County-district number or vend		Amendment # (for amendments only):
Applicants proposing a <b>TEXAS</b> implemented for all students in <i>High School</i> (ECHS). Please r Assurances. These applicants shall respond shall indicate below with "N/A".	STATE-DESIGN model must of the school, which is consistent eview the description of the Text to the prompts in the table below.	chool-wide strategy (continued) deliver a comprehensive school improvement strategy, with the Texas concept for developing an <i>Early College</i> xas state-design model in Schedule #2 Provisions and ow. Applicants not proposing a Texas State-Design model ial font, no smaller than 10 point.
Describe the processes the LEA/campus will take to build the number of college courses available to students to gain during high school to a minimum of six (6) by the start of the 2016-2017 to sixty (60) by the start of 2017-2018 school year:	N/A	

For TEA Use Only				
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:			
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:			

Schedule #16—Responses to Statutory Requirements (cont.)  County-district number or vendor ID: 057910-131  Statutory Requirement 12: Developing an Early College school-wide strategy (continued) Applicants proposing a TEXAS STATE-DESIGN model must deliver a comprehensive school improvement strategy, implemented for all students in the school, which is consistent with the Texas concept for developing an Early College High School (ECHS). Please review the description of the Texas state-design model in Schedule #2 Provisions and Assurances.  These applicants shall respond to the prompts in the table below. Applicants not proposing a Texas State-Design model shall indicate below with "NA".  Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.  N/A  Describe the academic, social, college readiness and college access services that will be in place by Fall 2016, to support student success in college-level coursework and continued post-secondary education pursuits:  N/A	Texas Education Agency	Standard Application System (S	SAS)
Statutory Requirement 12: Developing an Early College school-wide strategy (continued) Applicants proposing a TEXAS STATE-DESIGN model must deliver a comprehensive school improvement strategy, implemented for all students in the school, which is consistent with the Texas concept for developing an Early College High School (ECHS). Please review the description of the Texas state-design model in Schedule #2 Provisions and Assurances. These applicants shall respond to the prompts in the table below. Applicants not proposing a Texas State-Design model shall indicate below with "NA".  Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.  Describe the academic, social, college readiness and college access services that will be in place by Fall 2016, to support student success in college-level coursework and continued post-secondary education pursuits:  N/A  N/A	Sci	hedule #16—Responses to Statutory Requirements (cont.)	
Applicants proposing a TEXAS STATE-DESIGN model must deliver a comprehensive school improvement strategy, mipmemented for all students in the school, which is consistent with the Texas concept for developing arealy College High School (ECHS). Please review the description of the Texas state-design model in Schedule #2 Provisions and Assurances.  These applicants shall respond to the prompts in the table below. Applicants not proposing a Texas State-Design model shall indicate below with *YNA*.  Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.  Describe the academic, social, college readness and college access services that will be in place by Fall 2016, to support student success in college-level coursework and continued post-secondary education pursuits:  N//A			
Describe the academic, social, college readiness and college access services that will be in place by Fall 2016, to support student success in college-level coursework and continued post-secondary education pursuits:	Applicants proposing a <b>TEXAS</b> implemented for all students in <i>High School</i> (ECHS). Please Assurances. These applicants shall respond shall indicate below with "N/A".	S STATE-DESIGN model must deliver a comprehensive school improvement strategy, in the school, which is consistent with the Texas concept for developing an <i>Early College</i> review the description of the Texas state-design model in Schedule #2 Provisions and d to the prompts in the table below. Applicants not proposing a Texas State-Design model.	
social, college readiness and college access services that will be in place by Fall 2016, to support student success in college-level coursework and continued post-secondary education pursuits:	Response is limited to space p	provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.	
For TFA lise Only	social, college readiness and college access services that will be in place by Fall 2016, to support student success in college-level coursework and continued post-secondary	N/A For TEA Use Only	

For TEA Use Only				
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:			
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:			

_				
Texas	H-duics	ation /	ומה	nev
		411 O I I 7	746	100

# Schedule #16—Responses to Statutory Requirements (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 057910-131

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Statutory Requirement 13: High-quality preschool programming

Applicants proposing the **EARLY LEARNING INTERVENTION** model must deliver a preschool program that meets the definition included in program federal requirements and is integrated in a campus-wide school improvement model. Please review the description of requirements under the Early Learning Intervention model in Schedule #2 Provisions and Assurances.

These applicants shall respond to the prompts in the table below. Applicants not proposing an Early Learning Intervention model shall indicate below with "N/A".

Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Describe the schedule and staffing pattern for the full-day preschool that will meet standards for high qualification of staff, required child-to-staff ratios, required class size limitations and comparable staff salaries.

Indicate if the campus will partner with community-based provider to deliver the preschool.

Describe how the preschool program proposed is: research-based; vertically aligned in math, science, literacy, language through the elementary grades; and develops socio-emotional skills:

Describe the student assessment data that will be examined for the preschool and kindergarten classes that inform continuous improvement and next-grade readiness:

The Early Learning Intervention model will be implemented in the Crockett Early Childhood Center that feeds into Williams Elementary. Educators of Pre-K students in the full-day center are certified early childhood educators. The staff includes bilingual teachers and therapists to provide culturally and linguistically responsive curricula, and inclusion services for children with disabilities to ensure individualized accomodations that support learning. To expand the Pre-K program, a PreK Mentor will be hired to provide professional development focused on building language, literacy, math, cognitive, and socio-emotional skills. Additional certified teachers (Interventionists) will be hired through the grant to ensure a child-to-teacher ratio of no more than 10 to 1 during Reading and Math instruction. When a Pre-K class enrollment is greater than 20 students taught by a certified teacher, an additional teacher will be hired to reduce class size. At this time, instructional staff salaries at the Center are comparable to the salaries of Grand Prairie K-12 instructional staff. Through TTIPS, early childhood teachers will be eligible for educator awards based on improvement in professional practice and student performance. The campus has many community partners to support our efforts; however, we do not partner with community based providers to deliver preschool.

The Crockett Early Childhood Center offers developmentally appropriate PreK curricula aligned with the Texas Pre-Kindergarten Guidelines at no charge to four year old children. The Principal, PreK Mentor, and key educators will attend a workshop to vertically align the state adopted curriculum program and state approved instructional materials with Kindergarten and First Grade standards to prepare students for future grades. The team will work with the Children's Learning Institute (CLI) trained early childhood specialist to provide curriculum and instruction resources to support teaching and learning based on the review of curriculum. All educators in the center will attend 2 Day CIRCLE training to develop hands-on activities that support the development of literacy and language, socio-emotional skills, and self-regulation. A PreK Mentor will provide staff with ongoing professional development during common planning meetings to implement best practices, co-teach in the classroom to facilitate effective integration of CIRCLE activities into instruction, and support teachers in using assessment results to plan and deliver instruction. The mentor will conduct peer observations using the Classroom Observation Tool (COT) to support improved professional practices.

The Early Childhood Center will enroll in the Children's Learning Institute (CLI), <u>CLI Engage</u> platform, and administer the <u>Texas School Ready!</u> child progress monitoring assessments three times each year. Teachers will be trained to utilize data to inform and differentiate instruction in order to meet the academic needs of individual students. A PreK Mentor will provide staff with ongoing professional development in administering the assessments and accessing reports of student achievement and progress. The mentor will work with teachers to use data to: plan differentiated classroom instruction to increase student growth, design the classroom environment to promote independence and confidence, establish a system for classroom management to engage students in learning, and create centers and technology based learning experiences to develop unique talents. All activities will be developed to promote continuous improvement and prepare students for success in Kindergarten and First Grade.

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

		100		PR 4	1000		4 .	1.0		1.
۱	е	xa	S	$\pm a$	uca	tion	Ασ	e	ncv	

Schedule #10—Responses to Statutory Requirements (cont.)					
County-district number or vendor ID: 057910-131  Amendment # (for amendments only):					
Statutory Requirement 14: Screening and Selecting Staff Applicants proposing a TURNAROUND model must measure the effectiveness of staff to work in the turnaround environment. In screening all existing staff, no more than 50% may be rehired to work in the turnaround model. Please review the description of requirements for educator screening and selecting staff under the turnaround model in Schedule #2 Provisions and Assurances.  These applicants shall respond to the prompts in the table below. Applicants not proposing a Turnaround model shall indicate below with "N/A".					
Response is limited to space p	rovided, front side only. Use	Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.			
Describe process for screening all staff that existed prior to implementation of the turnaround model, including the criteria for best-fit in the turnaround model:	N/A				
Indicate the number of existing staff rehired for work in the turnaround model implementation:	N/A				
Describe process for selecting new staff, including the criteria for best-fit in the turnaround model:	N/A				
Indicate the number of new					
staff hired for work in the turnaround model implementation:	N/A				
Indicate the start date for the new turnaround implementation staff; including rehires and new hires:	N/A				
Changes on this page have been o	For TEA onfirmed with:	Use Only On this date:			
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)		By TEA staff person:			

RFA #701-15-107; SAS #191-16 2015–2020 Texas Title I Priority Schools, Cycle 4

Schedule #16—Responses to Statutory Requirements (cont.)  County-district number or vendor ID. 057910-131  Amendment # (for amendments only):  Statutory Requirement 15: New Governance Structure/Turnaround Office Applicants proposing a TURNAROUND model must adopt a new campus governance structure in which the school may report to a new turnaround office in the LEA or SEA, hire a turnaround leader who reports to LEA executive leadership, or enter into a multi-year contract with the LEA for added flexibility in exchange for greater accountability. Please review the description of requirements for new governance structures planned in the space below. Applicants not proposing a Turnaround model shall indicate below with "N/A".  Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.  N/A	Texas Education Agency Standard Application System (SAS
Statutory Requirement 15: New Governance Structure/Turnaround Office Applicants proposing a TURNAROUND model must adopt a new campus governance structure in which the school may report to a new turnaround office in the LEA or SEA, hire a turnaround leader who reports to LEA executive leadership, or enter into a multi-year contract with the LEA for added flexibility in exchange for greater accountability. Please review the description of requirements for new governance structure under the turnaround model in Schedule #2 Provisions and Assurances.  These applicants shall describe the new governance structures planned in the space below. Applicants not proposing a Turnaround model shall indicate below with "N/A".  Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.	Schedule #16—Responses to Statutory Requirements (cont.)
Applicants proposing a <b>TURNAROUND</b> model must adopt a new campus governance structure in which the school may report to a new turnaround office in the LEA or SEA, hire a turnaround leader who reports to LEA executive leadership, or enter into a multi-year contract with the LEA for added flexibility in exchange for greater accountability. Please review the description of requirements for new governance structure under the turnaround model in Schedule #2 Provisions and Assurances.  These applicants shall describe the new governance structures planned in the space below. Applicants not proposing a Turnaround model shall indicate below with "N/A".  Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.	
N/A	Applicants proposing a <b>TURNAROUND</b> model must adopt a new campus governance structure in which the school may report to a new turnaround office in the LEA or SEA, hire a turnaround leader who reports to LEA executive leadership, or enter into a multi-year contract with the LEA for added flexibility in exchange for greater accountability. Please review the description of requirements for new governance structure under the turnaround model in Schedule #2 Provisions and Assurances.  These applicants shall describe the new governance structures planned in the space below. Applicants not proposing a Turnaround model shall indicate below with "N/A".
	N/A

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Sčl	edule #16—Responses to Statutory Requirements (cont.)	
County-district number or vend		nly):
Applicants proposing the WHO with a whole-school reform model in Schedule #2 I These applicants shall respond model shall indicate below with	to the prompts in the table below. Applicants not proposing a Whole-School Re"N/A".	School
	ovided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.	
Name the model developer with whom you will partner to implement the whole-school reform:	N/A	
Describe the record of success the model developer has shown in implementing whole-school reform strategies:	N/A	
Name and describe the study/studies examined that support the efficacy of the model selected. Include information about the study's sample size and multi-site sampling.	N/A	
Include key findings showing impact on student achievement. Additionally, provide citations for the study publications:		

For TEA Use Only		
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:	
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:	

Schedule #16—Responses to St	atutory Requirements (cont.)
unty-district number or vendor ID: 057910-131	Amendment # (for amendments only):
Itutory Requirement 17: Operations under a Charter Scolicants proposing a RESTART model must convert or reoping management organization (CMO), or education management ect a provider who will restart the organization. Please revised in Schedule #2 Provisions and Assurances. The space below, these applicants shall describe the rigorouseria used for selection; timeline for provider selection; and applicants not proposing a Restart model shall indicate below sponse is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arients	pen the school under a charter school operator, charter organization (EMO); using a rigorous review process to sew the description of requirements under the Restart us process to be used to select the restart organization; anticipated date for school reopening/conversion. with "N/A".
sponse is limited to space provided, front side only. Ose All	arioni, no smaller man To point.
•	

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Schedule #16—Responses to Sta	Standard Application System (SAS)
County-district number or vendor ID: 057910-131 Statutory Requirement 18: Enrollment in higher achieving	Amendment # (for amendments only):
Applicants proposing a <b>CLOSURE</b> model must enroll students reasonable proximity to the closed school. These applicants shall describe the processes, key activities, a transition students to higher achieving school in the space below dicate below with "N/A".	who attended the school a higher achieving school within ind timeline they will undertake within one year in order to w. Applicants not proposing a Closure model shall
Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Aria	al font, no smaller than 10 point.
N/A	

For TEA Use Only		
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:	
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:	

Schedule #17—Res		
CANADITIO #17 PAG	nancae ta IIIA Draz	irom Pomiliromonte
Ociionnie 4 (1 1769	けいこうさつ・こう こうしょ	Haili izedali elliella

County-district number or vendor ID: 057910-131

Amendment # (for amendments only):

TEA Program Requirement 1: Interventions to meet Model Requirements and Timeline

Critical Success Factors are the key research-based focus areas, aligned with the statutory requirements of this program, under which school improvement initiatives shall be planned. Research provides evidence that effort and investment in these focus areas is most impactful to achieve continuous school improvement.

Academic Performance is the foundational Critical Success Factor. Through gains in Critical Success Factors of teacher quality, effective leadership, data-driven instructional decisions, productive community and parent involvement, efficient use of learning time, and maintaining a positive school climate, campuses can increase academic performance for all students.

List the most important areas in which the campus will achieve increased academic performance through an improved instructional program through this grant.

Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Critical	Success
Fac	ctor:

## Academic Performance/Improve the Instructional Program

Planned Intervention			Period for Implementation	
1.	Aligned Curriculum: the early childhood and elementary campuses will attend a workshop each spring to align curriculum from Pre-K to 5th grade. The Classroom Observation Tools and Skills, Knowledge and Responsibilities evaluation rubrics will be updated as appropriate to include new standards. Teachers will attend content area training to review state content standards, district curriculum, and expectations for professional practice (COT/SKR performance standards).	<ul><li>X Year 1</li><li>X Year 2</li><li>X Year 3</li></ul>	⊠ Year 4 ⊠ Year 5	
2.	Accountability Standards and Safeguards: TTIPS leaders and GPISD curriculum staff will correlate data with state expectations (Pre-K to 2 nd ) and state/federal accountability standards & safeguards (Gr. 3 to 5). Leadership meetings will be held to review the effects of new expectations for student achievement and set growth goals. Teachers will attend inservice training to review the needs assessment data and annual TTIPS goals.	<ul><li>X Year 1</li><li>X Year 2</li><li>X Year 3</li></ul>	⊠ Year4 ⊠ Year5	
3.	Interview and Hire a PreK Mentor to provide ongoing support in implementing state adopted curriculum and instruction, CIRCLE strategies to support language and literacy development, classroom environment to improve student learning and classroom management, progress monitoring assessments to differentiate instruction, and flexible grouping during center time to provide individualized instruction to small groups.	⊠ Year 1 ⊠ Year 2 ⊠ Year 3	⊠ Year4 ⊠ Year5	
4.	Interview and hire Instructional Specialists for grades Pre-K, grade K, grade 1, grades 2-3, and grades 4-5 to provide ongoing training each week during common planning meetings. Instructional Specialists will support teachers in developing lessons based on curriculum frameworks (horizontal and vertical curriculum alignment), delivering instruction based on informal and formal assessment scores (data-driven instruction), and conduct peer observations (ongoing monitoring of professional practices).	☐ Year 1 ☑ Year 2 ☑ Year 3	⊠ Year4 ⊠ Year5	
5.	Interview and hire a Reading & Math Interventionist for grades Pre-K, grade K-1, grades 2-3, and grades 4-5 to co-teach (reducing the student to pupil ratio to 10:1 during Reading and Math), tutor (providing extended learning time), and provide parent training (Parent & Child Time PreK, and Reading/Homework Support Gr K-5). An additional Interventionist will co-teach in classrooms to model integration of technology tools to improve learning.	☐ Year 1 ☑ Year 2 ☑ Year 3	⊠ Year4 ⊠ Year5	
6.	An iLearn Academy will be held each week to advance leadership skills, develop unique talents and interests and provide enrichment for Reading and Math. A digital piano lab will be used to compose and play music, a news studio will be used to produce campus announcements and reports, and a technology lab will be used to develop websites and digital media. Staff, parents, and community members will be recruited to teach classes.	☐ Year 1 ☑ Year 2 ☑ Year 3	⊠ Year4 ⊠ Year5	
7.	A social worker will be interviewed and hired to link families to school and community services to access health and nutrition resources. The counselor will be trained in using Keystone strategies to help students recognize and display positive character traits such as kindness, courage, and confidence to improve attendance & decrease discipline incidents.	☐ Year 1 ☑ Year 2 ☑ Year 3	⊠ Year4 ⊠ Year5	

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

	as Education Ag		Indard Application System (SAS
_		Schedule #17—Responses to TEA Program Requirements (c	0444.4154.14559.146604.04554.4556.041564.5564.71564.71664.16564.71569.71659.7165
		nber or vendor ID: 057910-131 Amendment #	# (for amendments only):
Critipro inve List inte and Add	tical Success Fa gram, under wh estment in these t and briefly des erventions select I Root Causes I ditionally, indica	quirement 2: Interventions to meet Model Requirements and Timelia actors are the key research-based focus areas, aligned with the statutory ich school improvement initiatives shall be planned. Research provides a focus areas is most impactful to achieve continuous school improvements or the interventions selected for implementation for this Critical Succested fulfill all statutory requirements listed in the program assurances, and dentified through your needs assessment.  It is the period during the grant cycle in which the activities will be implement to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 periods.	ry requirements of this sevidence that effort and ent. ent. cess Factor. Ensure that and support Problem Statements
Cri	tical Success Factor:	Increase Teacher Quality	
		Planned Intervention	Period for Implementation
		entary will create, approve, and implement a new teacher evaluation sy	
		iple observation-based evaluations and incentive award structure of the ncement Program (TAP). The program will create an evaluation system	
1.	teachers that	use student growth (CPALLS, TPRI, and STAAR test results compared (essional practice (observation-based assessment) as significant factors.	over 🛭 Year 3
		using data to inform instruction will be implemented. The campus princip	
_		training to present teachers with visual data of the student performance well and the improvement needed to meet state and federal accountabilit	e in
2.		ditional in-service training will be conducted to introduce strategies for u	···
	data to develo	p differentiated classroom and intervention lessons to meet student nee	eds.
		monitoring data will be implemented to ensure teachers have support to rement goals. The Principal will lead PLC meetings each quarter to revie	
_	data trends of	student performance visually for each class and grade level and the	≥ Year 2 ⊠ Year 5
3.	improvement i	need to meet annual goals. Teacher feedback concerning TTIPS	☑ Year 3
		vill be requested. Teachers will work with Instructional Specialists to dev	
		ns in PLCs based on student and class data to promote student success improving professional practices will be implemented. The Skills, Knowle	
	& Responsibili	ties performance standards and evaluation rubric will be used in peer	Tear 1 tear 4
4.		d self evaluation to evaluate and improve professional practice. Multiple	
		ased evaluations will be conducted by Instructional Specialists. Results a presented to teachers during post conference mtgs to improve practice	
		tor and Instructional Specialists will design and deliver research-based	Year 1 ⊠ Year 4
_	professional de   new learning n	evelopment activities during weekly PLC meetings. The lead teachers will proroven through research to improve student achievement, demonstrate best	resent
5.	practices, and	conduct peer observations including pre and post conferences.	☑ Year 3
		s will co-teach using accelerated strategies to support improved practice	e. 2 75317
$\neg$	The Williams F	Principal will evaluate existing staff at the end of each year utilizing Skills	S. D. Vans d. M. Vans d.

gains in student achievement.	
For 7	ΓΕΑ Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

gains in student achievement (with student growth as the leading indicator), and grade level | | | Year 3

Knowledge, and Responsibility and T-TESS evaluation reports. Highly qualified educators

on GPISD Board policies regarding employment when satisfactory progress is not achieved.

will receive a contract for the upcoming school year. Individual Growth Plans will be developed for educators needing support. Non-qualified personnel will be removed based

An incentive program will be established at Williams Elementary School. Teacher

Advancement Program (TAP) incentive structures adopted by Grand Prairie ISD will be

used to award staff annually based on educator gains in SKR professional practice, class

☑ Year 4

Year 5

✓ Year 4

✓ Year 5

☐ Year 1

✓ Year 2

✓ Year 3

Year 1

Year 2

Teyas	:Edi	cation	· An	enc	) ac
LCVGS		roa uon	nu		•

## Schedule #17—Responses to TEA Program Requirements (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 057910-131

Amendment # (for amendments only):

TEA Program Requirement 3: Interventions to meet Model Requirements and Timeline (cont.)

Critical Success Factors are the key research-based focus areas, aligned with the statutory requirements of this program, under which school improvement initiatives shall be planned. Research provides evidence that effort and investment in these focus areas is most impactful to achieve continuous school improvement.

List and briefly describe the interventions selected for implementation for this Critical Success Factor. Ensure that interventions selected fulfill all statutory requirements listed in the program assurances, and support Problem Statements and Root Causes identified through your needs assessment.

Additionally, indicate the period during the grant cycle in which the activities will be implemented. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

**Critical Success** Factor:

Increase Leadership Effectiveness

	1 40001.		1 . 3	· . · ·	
	Planned Intervention			Period for Implementation	
		TTIIPS leaders will work with Campus Improvement Committee to plan and implement sn expanded evaluation system. The campus will create an evaluation system for teachers and		⊠ Year 4	
,		⊠ Year 2	✓ Year 5		
1.		uses state and federal accountability standards (Index 1 student achievement udent growth) and professional practice (observations of campus duties	⊠ Year 3		
		ership, curriculum, & operations) as significant factors.	<u></u>		
		data analysis will be established. District leadership will lead meetings with to review state accountability indexes and safeguards, federal safeguards,	☑ Year 1	🛛 Year 4	
		nce Based Monitoring as it pertains to AMS. The leadership team will review	⊠ Year 2	X Year 5	
2.	accountability	standards from the previous and upcoming school year in both state and	☑ Year 3		
		ns. Visual data will be presented to set nine week and annual goals. The resent the data visually to the CIC and CLT, and to the campus staff.			
		nonitoring student achievement over time will be established. Pre-K and K	M V1		
	checklists and	grade 1-5 unit test scores will be presented to the CLT in quarterly Data Analysis	⊠ Year 1	⊠ Year 4	
3.		alyze grade level student growth over time and to determine if targeted student eting quarterly goals. When student growth is not achieved during a semester, the	⊠ Year 2	⊠ Year5	
		ata and feedback to determine if revisions to project timelines and/or	☑ Year 3		
		of interventions will be made to positively impact achievement.			
		letermining TAP professional practice standards annually will be established. hip will revise the GPISD math curriculum framework and nine weeks tests (and	☑ Year 1	X Year 4	
4.		bjects as appropriate during the five year project period) to meet revised state	⊠ Year 2	🖾 Year5	
<b>**</b> .		rds. Skills, Knowledge, and Responsibilities (SKR) performance standards for	⊠ Year 3		
		actice will be revised to meet the higher math standards. The principal will review ation rubrics with teachers.			
	The leadership	team including principal, assistant principal, counselor, social worker, TTIPS	⊠ Year 1		
		nator, Pre-K Mentor, and Instructional Specialists will be evaluated for growth in actice each semester. TTIPS leaders will attend training in reforming leadership	⊠ Year 2	☑ Year 5	
5.		nducting curriculum interventions (technology mediated curriculum programs) and	⊠ Year 3		
	restructuring ca	ampus operations using reform strategies (TAIS guidance/training). GPISD			
-		will evaluate professional practice using SKR rubrics and reports.  adership team will evaluate TTIIPS leadership at the end of each year utilizing			
		dge, and Responsibilities (SKR) evaluation reports. Highly qualified	⊠ Year 1	⊠ Year 4	
6.		will receive a contract for the upcoming school year. Leaders not reaching	⊠ Year 2	⊠ Year5	
		formance standards will be placed in an alternative position based on ill be removed from employment based on GPISD Human Resources.	⊠ Year 3		
		ntives and career growth opportunities will be awarded annually to TTIPS	KM Vanad	KN Vanad	
	leadership bas	sed on educator gains in professional practice, school gains in state student	⊠ Year 1	⊠ Year 4	
7.	achievement s	standards, and school gains in federal student achievement standards.	⊠ Year 2	✓ Year 5	
			⊠ Year3		
		EQUIEA (III)			

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Texas Education Agency	Standard Application System (SAS
Schedule #17—Responses to TEA	Program Requirements (cont.)
County-district number or vendor ID: 057910-131	Amendment # (for amendments only):
TEA Program Requirement 4: Interventions to meet Model	Requirements and Timeline (cont.)

Critical Success Factors are the key research-based focus areas, aligned with the statutory requirements of this program, under which school improvement initiatives shall be planned. Research provides evidence that effort and investment in these focus areas is most impactful to achieve continuous school improvement.

List and briefly describe the interventions selected for implementation for this Critical Success Factor. Ensure that interventions selected fulfill all statutory requirements listed in the program assurances, and support Problem Statements and Root Causes identified through your needs assessment.

Additionally, indicate the period during the grant cycle in which the activities will be implemented. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

**Critical Success** Factor:

Increase Use of Quality Data to Inform Instruction

	Planned Intervention		
1.	Representatives from the CLT will attend TTIPS training and the TX School Improvement conference to learn additional strategies to use data to lead school improvement reforms. The team will work with the PSP to conduct an annual needs assessment that will serve as the basis for the TTIPS Targeted Improvement Plan for the upcoming school year. The plan will be presented to the Campus and District Improvement Committee for approval annually.	⊠ Year 1 ⊠ Year 2 ⊠ Year 3	⊠ Year4 ⊠ Year5
2.	A process for monitoring data at the grade level will be implemented to collect and report data. The Principal will lead PLC meetings each quarter to review visual data trends of student performance in each class and the improvement need to meet accountability goals. Teacher feedback will be valued. Teachers will work with Mentor and Master Teachers to identify specific content within a subject area and individual students to be targeted for improvement each week.	⊠ Year 1 ⊠ Year 2 ⊠ Year 3	⊠ Year4 ⊠ Year5
3.	The TTIPS Campus Leadersip Team (CLT) will meet quarterly to review data and determine if student groups are meeting quarterly achievement goals set by the CIC. The Project Coordinator will present the progress monitoring data at PLC meetings to enable educator teams to determine the root cause and make timely revisions to curriculum frameworks, PreK Mentor, Instructional Specialist, and Interventionist services and supports.	☐ Year 1 ☑ Year 2 ☑ Year 3	⊠ Year 4 ⊠ Year 5
4.	A process for using quarterly data from multiple sources to plan interventions will be implemented. Instructional Specialists will support educators in reviewing achievement data to identify problems and additional data to determine root causes. The specialists will work with teachers to develop nine week plans that include co-teaching, flexible grouping across classrooms, tutorials, and iLearn classes to provided accelerated instruction and extended learning time.	☐ Year 1 ☑ Year 2 ☑ Year 3	⊠ Year 4 ⊠ Year 5
5.	A process for using data to support educators in using data to plan differentiated instruction will be implemented. Instructional Specialists will support educators in reviewing data reports to determine knowledge and skills to be targeted in classroom instruction and intervention classes. Lesson resources and technology based learning programs will be linked to targeted content.	☐ Year 1 ☑ Year 2 ☑ Year 3	⊠ Year4 ⊠ Year5
6.	Data used for accountability purposes will be the basis of TAP incentive awards. TTIIPS leaders will work with the CIC to establish data collection and analysis protocols for TAP. Data collected for incentives and career growth awards will be reviewed to ensure CPALLS, TPRI, and STAAR data used to assess achievement reflects student growth as the leading indicator, and SKR rubrics of professional practice reflect current state content standards.	☐ Year 1 ☑ Year 2 ☑ Year 33	⊠ Year4 ⊠ Year5
7.	The TTIPS Campus Leadersip Team (CLT) will meet annually with the Campus Improvement Committee to review data and determine if student groups are meeting annual achievement goals. When goals have not been met, the teams will determine the root cause, and make revisions on the Targeted Improvement Plan for the upcoming year.	☐ Year 1 ☑ Year 2 ☑ Year 3	⊠ Year4 ⊠ Year5

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Texa	as Education Ag	jency	Standard A	pplication Sy	ystem (SAS
		Schedule #17—Responses to TEA Progra			
TE. Crit pro	A Program Red tical Success Fa gram, under wh	nber or vendor ID: 057910-131  quirement 5: Interventions to meet Model Requirentors are the key research-based focus areas, alignich school improvement initiatives shall be planned.	ned with the statutory require . Research provides evidenc	t.) ements of th	nis
List inte and Add Res	t and briefly des erventions select I Root Causes i ditionally, indica sponse is limite	e focus areas is most impactful to achieve continuous cribe the interventions selected for implementation sted fulfill all statutory requirements listed in the programment through your needs assessment, at the period during the grant cycle in which the action of the space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, it	for this Critical Success Fac ram assurances, and suppo- ivities will be implemented.		
Cri	tical Success Factor:	Increase Learning Time			
		Planned Intervention		. I	od for entation
	The transport	ation schedule will be revised to increase the school onal time for differentiated instruction in Reading an	day by 30 minutes to	☐ Year 1	⊠ Year4
1.		ny each week. These classes lead by teachers, par		⊠ Year 2	🛛 Year 5
,,	members will leadership str	support academic enrichment, develop talents and i ategies.	nterests, and promote	⊠ Year3	
	Campus sche	dules will be revised to provide additional time for te age in Professional Learning Communities (PLCs).	achers to collaborate,	☐ Year 1	⊠ Year4
2.	the Pre-K Mer	ntor and Instructional Specialists will support teache		⊠ Year 2	✓ Year 5
	and extended	time instruction.		⊠ Year3	
	A TTIPS scholarship program to reward academic excellence for students to attend summer camps offered by the campuses and GPISD. Camps offered include bowling, swimming,		☐ Year 1	⊠ Year4	
3.	science, Mindworks, art, dance, & cooking. The scholarships will also be used by students				🛛 Year 5
	Ft. Worth Mus	mer field trips including Texas Rangers ballpark, Da seum of Science, and History, and indoor skydiving.		⊠ Year3	
		er school will be conducted for students who do not is ssessments and STAAR. Transportation will be pro-		☐ Year 1	⊠ Year 4
4.	2.1.4 51 154.4	occomente and o 170 tiv. Transportation will be pro-	vided.	☑ Year 2	🛛 Year 5
				⊠ Year3	
		ending Program will provide personal computers for ensure students can access assignments and tutori		☐ Year 1	⊠ Year4
5.	sessions at so	thool and to complete assignments at home. The pr		⊠ Year 2	🛛 Year 5
<b>.</b>	grade in year	3, 4 th grade in year 4, and 5 th grade in year 5.		☑ Year 3	
_			- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	☐ Year 1	☐ Year 4
6.				☐ Year 2	Year 5
:				Year 3	
				☐ Year 1	Year 4
7.				Year 2	☐ Year 5
		For TEA Use Only			
Char	enan an thin nann	have been confirmed with:			

FORTEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Texa	s Education Ag	ency	Standard Ap	oplication Sy	/stem (SAS
		Schedule #17—Responses to TEA P	rogram Requirements (cont.)		
		nber or vendor ID: 057910-131	Amendment # (for am		nly):
Crit prog inve List inte and Add	ical Success Fagram, under whe stment in these and briefly des rventions select Root Causes i litionally, indica	quirement 5: Interventions to meet Model Ractors are the key research-based focus areas ich school improvement initiatives shall be place focus areas is most impactful to achieve concribe the interventions selected for implement ted fulfill all statutory requirements listed in the dentified through your needs assessment. It the period during the grant cycle in which the to space provided, front side only. Use Arial	s, aligned with the statutory required inned. Research provides evidence tinuous school improvement action for this Critical Success Factor program assurances, and suppose activities will be implemented.	ements of the that effort tor. Ensure t	and that
Cri	tical Success Factor:	Increase Parent/Community Engagen	nent		
		Planned intervention			od for entation
		arent participation in the academic programs		⊠ Yeart	∑ Year 4
		amily surveys will be conducted in the spring. beived levels of service and to ascertain areas		⊠ Year2	Year 5
1.	anonymous si Williams Elem	urvey will include questions concerning acade tentary. The Campus Improvement Committee that supports their ability to positively supports	mic supports provided by will utilize the survey to offer	⊠ Year3	
	Interventionist	s will be hired for Reading, Math, Technology	and Writing. A PreK Mentor (K)	☐ Year 1	⊠ Year4
	and Instructional Specialists will be hired for Reading and Math (K-5). These TTIPS staff members will provide parent training to implement PACT (Pre-K) at home and to establish			⊠ Year 2	 ⊠ Year5
2.		for completing Homework (Grades K-5).	re-ry at nome and to establish	⊠ Year3	
		arent participation and leadership in classes a		⊠ Year 1	⊠ Year4
	Interest Survey will be conducted each year. The purpose of the survey will be to compile a list of volunteers with specific skills, talents, and interests. The survey will request names				⊠ Year 5
3.		formation, and also hours available. Campus		⊠ Year 3	
		gn the iLearn Academy classes. This will imp			
<u> </u>		ract and support their child's learning and schoor will be hired to monitor attendance, discipling the control of the control		[ ] V4	171 V4
	progress in cla	asses. The counselor will work with educators	to contact parents and support	☐ Year1 ☑ Year2	⊠ Year4 ⊠ Year5
4.		sing resources and training to support their ch ls. The social worker will also recruit commun		Year 3	⊠ teato
		raining for family members.	ity partiters to provide fleatin	M Teal 3	
		assist the TTIPS program in conducting the T		☐ Year 1	⊠ Year 4
. ـ ا		PS leaders to develop the scholarship progran I in bowling, swimming, science, art, dance, &		⊠ Year 2	Year 5
5.	field trips inclu	iding Texas Rangers ballpark, Dallas Cowboy d History, and indoor skydiving.		⊠ Year3	
		letter from the principal will be posted on the		☐ Year 1	✓ Year 4
6.		atives aware of important dates and events re PA regulations will be followed.	elated to the campus and their	⊠ Year2	✓ Year 5
5.	Oma. All Liv	A regulations will be followed.		⊠ Year 3	
				☐ Year 1	☐ Year 4
7.				☐ Year 2	Year 5
				☐ Year 3	
		For TEA Use	Only		
Char	Changes on this page have been confirmed with:  On this date:				

By TEA staff person: Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)

Texa	as Education Ag	jency	Standard A	pplication S	ystem (SAS
		Schedule #17—Responses to TEA Program Requireme	nts (cont.)		
TE/ Crit pro inve List inte and Add	A Program Rectical Success Fagram, under wheet ment in these and briefly deserventions select Root Causes I ditionally, indica	Amenda  quirement 5: Interventions to meet Model Requirements and T  actors are the key research-based focus areas, aligned with the stanct school improvement initiatives shall be planned. Research pro  e focus areas is most impactful to achieve continuous school impro  scribe the interventions selected for implementation for this Critical  cted fulfill all statutory requirements listed in the program assurance  identified through your needs assessment.  ate the period during the grant cycle in which the activities will be in  d to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than	atutory require vides evidend by ement. Success Faces, and supponted to the properties of the properti	t.) ements of the ce that effor tor. Ensure	nis t and that
Cri	tical Success Factor:	Improve School Climate			
		Planned Intervention		1	od for nentation
1.	week. Campu classes offere will teach clas	e the school culture, students will attend classes in the iLearn Aca is Interventionists will coordinate the program including creating a led each nine weeks. Educators, parents, students, and community isses based on their own unique talents. The classes are designed d-set and to improve each child's self confidence.	brochure of members	⊠ Year 1 ⊠ Year 2 ⊠ Year 3	⊠ Year4 ⊠ Year5
2.	motivate stude encouraged to Students will I	Williams Elementary School will be posted as you walk into the burents and educators to focus on their goals. Each iLearn Academy to participate in a competition and the class will celebrate student stearn to value accomplishments and to dream and focus efforts on goals of success.	class will be uccesses.	☐ Year 1 ☑ Year 2 ☑ Year 3	⊠ Year4 ⊠ Year5
3.	in the Flippen decision maki anonymity to p	ttendance and decrease discipline incidents, the campus will train Group Keystone program that builds character traits. Learning poing strategies will build the student's self worth and address the proprovide all students with a sense of belonging and confidence. Keybe part of classroom lessons each week.	sitive oblem of	☐ Year 1 ☐ Year 2 ☐ Year 3	⊠ Year4 ⊠ Year5
4.	will be held in after school tu	ne number of students who complete homework assignments, pare the campus Parent Center. Training will be held during the day an atorial. Students and parents will attend the training together to assign expectations for completion of assignments in class expectation itive behavior.	d as an sist parents	☐ Year 1 ☑ Year 2 ☑ Year 3	⊠ Year4 ⊠ Year5
5.	Schools of Ch apply for admi standards in 5	Middle Schools are designed to offer 6th graders choices for enroll noice include leadership, early college, and fine arts campuses. Stuittance. To increase the number of students who leave meet advars grade and are ready to transition to GPISD middle schools, the sof in the spring of the 4th and 5th grade year.	idents must nced	☐ Year 1 ☑ Year 2 ☑ Year 3	⊠ Year 4 ⊠ Year 5
6,				☐ Year 1 ☐ Year 2 ☐ Year 3	☐ Year 4 ☐ Year 5
7.				Year 1 Year 2 Year 3	Year 4

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Texas E	ducation Agency	Standard	Application S	ystem (SAS
	Schedule #18—Equitable Access and Partici			
Aprel 100 per en		nt number (for a	amendments	only):
No Ba	rilers	eAces in eller a variable de la companya de la comp	radilara salataha salara s	alematik derak baylar.
#	No Barriers	Students	Teachers	Others
000	The applicant assures that no barriers exist to equitable access and participation for any groups			
Barrie	r: Gender-Specific Blas			
#	Strategies for Gender-Specific Bias	Students	Teachers	Others
A01	Expand opportunities for historically underrepresented groups to fully participate			
A02	Provide staff development on eliminating gender bias			
A03	Ensure strategies and materials used with students do not promote gender bias			
A04	Develop and implement a plan to eliminate existing discrimination and the effects of past discrimination on the basis of gender			
A05	Ensure compliance with the requirements in Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of gender			
A06	Ensure students and parents are fully informed of their rights and responsibilities with regard to participation in the program			
A99	Other (specify)			
Barrie	r: Cultural, Linguistic, or Economic Diversity		<u> </u>	
#	Strategies for Cultural, Linguistic, or Economic Diversity	Students	Teachers	Others
B01	Provide program information/materials in home language			$\boxtimes$
B02	Provide interpreter/translator at program activities			
B03	Increase awareness and appreciation of cultural and linguistic diversity through a variety of activities, publications, etc.	$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$
B04	Communicate to students, teachers, and other program beneficiaries an appreciation of students' and families' linguistic and cultural backgrounds	$\boxtimes$	⊠	$\boxtimes$
B05	Develop/maintain community involvement/participation in program activities			$\boxtimes$
B06	Provide staff development on effective teaching strategies for diverse populations		⊠	
B07	Ensure staff development is sensitive to cultural and linguistic differences and communicates an appreciation for diversity		$\boxtimes$	
B08	Seek technical assistance from education service center, technical assistance center, Title I, Part A school support team, or other provider			
B09	Provide parenting training			$\boxtimes$
B10	Provide a parent/family center			$\boxtimes$
B11	Involve parents from a variety of backgrounds in decision making			×

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Texas E	Education Agency	Standard	Application S	ystem (SAS
	Schedule #18—Equitable Access and Participation			
1.000 (0.000 (0.000)		number (for	amendments	only):
	r: Cultural, Linguistic, or Economic Diversity (cont.)		T	
#	Strategies for Cultural, Linguistic, or Economic Diversity	Students	Teachers	Others
B12	Offer "flexible" opportunities for parent involvement including home learning activities and other activities that don't require parents to come to the school			⊠
B13	Provide child care for parents participating in school activities			Ø
B14	Acknowledge and include family members' diverse skills, talents, and knowledge in school activities			Ø
B15	Provide adult education, including GED and/or ESL classes, or family literacy program			
B16	Offer computer literacy courses for parents and other program beneficiaries			⊠
B17	Conduct an outreach program for traditionally "hard to reach" parents			$\boxtimes$
B18	Coordinate with community centers/programs			Ø
B19	Seek collaboration/assistance from business, industry, or institutions of higher education			
B20	Develop and implement a plan to eliminate existing discrimination and the effects of past discrimination on the basis of race, national origin, and color			
B21	Ensure compliance with the requirements in Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, national origin, and color			
B22	Ensure students, teachers, and other program beneficiaries are informed of their rights and responsibilities with regard to participation in the program			
B23	Provide mediation training on a regular basis to assist in resolving disputes and complaints			
B99	Other (specify)			
Barrie	r: Gang-Related Activities			
#	Strategies for Gang-Related Activities	Students	Teachers	Others
C01	Provide early intervention			
C02	Provide counseling			
C03	Conduct home visits by staff			
C04	Provide flexibility in scheduling activities			
C05	Recruit volunteers to assist in promoting gang-free communities			
C06	Provide mentor program			
C07	Provide before/after school recreational, instructional, cultural, or artistic programs/activities			

ForTEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

County	y-District Number or Vendor ID: 057910-131 Amer	ndment number (for	amendments	only):
Barrie	r: Gang-Related Activities (cont.)	enera (n. 1444). Anteriorial y desilente (perpendi		
#	Strategies for Gang-Related Activities	Students	Teachers	Others
C08	Provide community service programs/activities			
C09	Conduct parent/teacher conferences			
C10	Strengthen school/parent compacts			
C11	Establish partnerships with law enforcement agencies			
C12				
C13	Seek collaboration/assistance from business, industry, or institutions higher education			
C14	Provide training/information to teachers, school staff, and parents to with gang-related issues	deal		
C99	Other (specify)			
Barrie	r: Drug-Related Activities			
#	Strategies for Drug-Related Activities	Students	Teachers	Others
D01	Provide early identification/intervention			
D02	Provide counseling			
D03	Conduct home visits by staff			
D04	Recruit volunteers to assist in promoting drug-free schools and communities			
D05	Provide mentor program			
D06	Provide before/after school recreational, instructional, cultural, or art programs/activities	istic		
D07	Provide community service programs/activities			
D08	Provide comprehensive health education programs			
D09	Conduct parent/teacher conferences			
D10	Establish school/parent compacts			
D11	Develop/maintain community partnerships			
D12	Provide conflict resolution/peer mediation strategies/programs			
D13	Seek collaboration/assistance from business, industry, or institutions higher education	s of		
D14	Provide training/information to teachers, school staff, and parents to with drug-related issues	deal		
D99	Other (specify)			
Barrie	r: Visual Impairments		······································	
#	Strategies for Visual Impairments	Students	Teachers	Others
E01	Provide early identification and intervention			
E02	Provide program materials/information in Braille			

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

iexas E	ducation Agency		Standard	Application S	ystem (SAS
	Schedule #18—Equitable Access and I	Participation	į (cont.)		24 21.04./000mj.gov/6
County-District Number or Vendor ID: 057910-131 Amendment number (for amendments only):					
Barrie	Barrier: Visual Impairments				
#	Strategies for Visual Impairments		Students	Teachers	Others
E03	Provide program materials/information in large type				
E04	Provide program materials/information on tape				
E05	Provide staff development on effective teaching strategies for vimpairment	/isual			
E06	Provide training for parents				
E07	Format materials/information published on the internet for ADA accessibility	•			
E99	Other (specify)				
Barrie	r: Hearing Impairments				
#	Strategies for Hearing Impairments				
F01	Provide early identification and intervention				
F02	Provide interpreters at program activities				
F03	Provide captioned video material				
F04	Provide program materials and information in visual format				
F05	Use communication technology, such as TDD/relay				
F06	Provide staff development on effective teaching strategies for himpairment	nearing			
F07	Provide training for parents				
F99	Other (specify)				
Barrie	r: Learning Disabilities				
#	Strategies for Learning Disabilities		Students	Teachers	Others
G01	Provide early identification and intervention		$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$
G02	Expand tutorial/mentor programs		$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$
G03	Provide staff development in identification practices and effecti teaching strategies	ve	$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$
G04	Provide training for parents in early identification and interventi	on	$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$
G99	Other (specify)				
Barrie	Barrier: Other Physical Disabilities or Constraints				
#	Strategies for Other Physical Disabilities or Constra		Students	Teachers	Others
H01	Develop and implement a plan to achieve full participation by s with other physical disabilities or constraints	tudents			
H02	Provide staff development on effective teaching strategies				
H03	Provide training for parents				
H99	Other (specify)				

For TEA	\ Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Texas E	Education Agency	sionspre <del>i j</del> artoroleori	Standard	Application S	vstem (SAS
	Schedule #18—Equitable Access and F	articipation		1.1	
Count	y-District Number or Vendor ID: 057910-131	Amendment	number (for a	amendments	only):
Barrie	r: Inaccessible Physical Structures				
#	Strategies for Inaccessible Physical Structures		Students	Teachers	Others
J01	Develop and implement a plan to achieve full participation by s with other physical disabilities/constraints	tudents			
J02	Ensure all physical structures are accessible				
J99	Other (specify)				
Barrie	r: Absenteeism/Truancy				
#	Strategies for Absenteelsm/Truancy		Students	Teachers	Others
K01	Provide early identification/intervention		$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$
K02	Develop and implement a truancy intervention plan				
K03	Conduct home visits by staff	, .			
K04	Recruit volunteers to assist in promoting school attendance	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
K05	Provide mentor program				
K06	Provide before/after school recreational or educational activities	3			
K07	Conduct parent/teacher conferences			$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$
K08	Strengthen school/parent compacts			$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$
K09	Develop/maintain community partnerships				
K10	Coordinate with health and social services agencies		$\boxtimes$		
K11	Coordinate with the juvenile justice system				
K12	Seek collaboration/assistance from business, industry, or institution	utions of			
K99	Other (specify)				
Barrie	r: High Mobility Rates				
#	Strategies for High Mobility Rates		Students	Teachers	Others
L01	Coordinate with social services agencies				
L02	Establish partnerships with parents of highly mobile families				
L03	Establish/maintain timely record transfer system				
L99	Other (specify)				
Barrie	r: Lack of Support from Parents	•	• • • • • •	·	
#	Strategies for Lack of Support from Parents		Students	Teachers	Others
M01	Develop and implement a plan to increase support from parents	S	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$
M02	Conduct home visits by staff				$\boxtimes$

I	For TEA	Use Only
	Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
	Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:

Texas E	ducation Agency	Standard	Application S	ystem (SAS
	Schedule #18—Equitable Access and Participatio	<u>n</u> (cont.)		
County	-District Number or Vendor ID: 057910-131 Amendment	number (for a	amendments	only):
Barrie	r: Lack of Support from Parents (cont.)		ditada da katalanda karangan da katalan da k Manangan da katalan da	
#	Strategies for Lack of Support from Parents	Students	Teachers	Others
M03	Recruit volunteers to actively participate in school activities		$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$
M04	Conduct parent/teacher conferences	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$
M05	Establish school/parent compacts	X	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$
M06	Provide parenting training	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$
M07	Provide a parent/family center	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$
M08	Provide program materials/information in home language	×		$\boxtimes$
M09	Involve parents from a variety of backgrounds in school decision making			
M10	Offer "flexible" opportunities for involvement, including home learning activities and other activities that don't require coming to school			
M11	Provide child care for parents participating in school activities			
M12	Acknowledge and include family members' diverse skills, talents, and knowledge in school activities	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	×
M13	Provide adult education, including GED and/or ESL classes, or family literacy program			
M14	Conduct an outreach program for traditionally "hard to reach" parents			
M15	Facilitate school health advisory councils four times a year			
M99	Other (specify)			
Barrie	: Shortage of Qualified Personnel			
#	Strategies for Shortage of Qualified Personnel	Students	Teachers	Others
N01	Develop and implement a plan to recruit and retain qualified personnel	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$
N02	Recruit and retain teachers from a variety of racial, ethnic, and language minority groups	⊠	×	$\boxtimes$
N03	Provide mentor program for new teachers			
N04	Provide intern program for new teachers			
N05	Provide an induction program for new personnel		$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$
N06	Provide professional development in a variety of formats for personnel		$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$
N07	Collaborate with colleges/universities with teacher preparation programs			
N99	Other (specify)			
Barrier	: Lack of Knowledge Regarding Program Benefits			
#	Strategies for Lack of Knowledge Regarding Program Benefits	Students	Teachers	Others
P01	Develop and implement a plan to inform program beneficiaries of program activities and benefits			
P02	Publish newsletter/brochures to inform program beneficiaries of activities and benefits		⊠	$\boxtimes$

For TEA Use Only		
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:	
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person:	

	Schedule #18—Equitable Access and Participation	Section and the second of the section	Application C	system (SAS	
County-District Number or Vendor ID: 057910-131  Amendment number (for amendments only):					
Barrier: Lack of Knowledge Regarding Program Benefits (cont.)					
#	Strategies for Lack of Knowledge Regarding Program Benefits		Teachers	Others	
P03	Provide announcements to local radio stations and newspapers about program activities/benefits				
P99	Other (specify)				
Barrier: Lack of Transportation to Program Activities					
#	Strategies for Lack of Transportation	Students	Teachers	Others	
Q01	Provide transportation for parents and other program beneficiaries to activities	×			
Q02	activities and other activities that don't require coming to school			$\boxtimes$	
Q03	Conduct program activities in community centers and other neighborhood locations				
Q99	Other (specify)				
Barrier	r: Other Barriers				
#	Strategies for Other Barriers	Students	Teachers	Others	
Z99	Other barrier			<u> </u>	
	Other strategy	<u> </u>	Ц		
Z99	Other barrier			<b>—</b>	
	Other strategy				
Z99	Other barrier				
	Other strategy		ll		
Z99	Other barrier		_	<del>[ ]</del>	
	Other strategy	<u> </u>	hand		
Z99	Other strategy				
	Other strategy Other barrier		_		
Z99	Other strategy				
	Other strategy Other barrier	— ··			
Z99	Other strategy				
	Other barrier				
Z99	Other strategy				
	Other barrier				
Z99	Other strategy				
Z99	Other barrier				
	Other strategy				

For TEA	Use Only
Changes on this page have been confirmed with:	On this date:
Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate)	By TEA staff person: